

PDF hosted at the Radboud Repository of the Radboud University Nijmegen

The following full text is a preprint version which may differ from the publisher's version.

For additional information about this publication click this link.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2066/135229>

Please be advised that this information was generated on 2017-12-05 and may be subject to change.

The Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS)

B. De Pontieu^{1,7} · A.M. Title¹ ·
J.R. Lemen¹ · G.D. Kushner¹ · D.J. Akin¹ ·
B. Allard¹ · T. Berger^{1,12} · P. Boerner¹ ·
M. Cheung¹ · C. Chou¹ · J.F. Drake¹ ·
D.W. Duncan¹ · S. Freeland¹ ·
G.F. Heyman¹ · C. Hoffman¹ ·
N.E. Hurlburt¹ · R.W. Lindgren¹ ·
D. Mathur¹ · R. Rehse¹ · D. Sabolish¹ ·
R. Seguin¹ · C.J. Schrijver¹ · T.D. Tarbell¹ ·
J.-P. Wülser¹ · C.J. Wolfson¹ · C. Yanari¹ ·
J. Mudge² · N. Nguyen-Phuc² ·
R. Timmons² · R. van Bezooijen² ·
I. Weingrod² · R. Brookner³ · G. Butcher³ ·
B. Dougherty³ · J. Eder³ ·
V. Knagenhjelm³ · S. Larsen³ · D. Mansir³ ·
L. Phan³ · P. Boyle³ · P.N. Cheimets⁴ ·
E.E. DeLuca⁴ · L. Golub⁴ · R. Gates⁴ ·
E. Hertz⁴ · S. McKillop⁴ · S. Park⁴ ·
T. Perry⁴ · W.A. Podgorski⁴ · K. Reeves⁴ ·
S. Saar⁴ · P. Testa⁴ · H. Tian⁴ · M. Weber⁴ ·
C. Dunn⁵ · S. Eccles⁵ · S.A. Jaeggli⁵ ·
C.C. Kankelborg⁵ · K. Mashburn⁵ ·
N. Pust⁵ · L. Springer⁵ · R. Carvalho⁶ ·
L. Kleint^{6,10} · J. Marmie⁶ · E. Mazmanian⁶ ·
T.M.D. Pereira^{6,7} · S. Sawyer⁶ · J. Strong⁶ ·
S.P. Worden⁶ · M. Carlsson⁷ ·
V.H. Hansteen⁷ · J. Leenaarts⁷ ·
M. Wiesmann⁷ · J. Aloise⁸ · K.-C. Chu⁸ ·
R.I. Bush⁸ · P.H. Scherrer⁸ · P. Brekke⁹ ·
J. Martinez-Sykora^{10,1} · B.W. Lites¹¹ ·
S.W. McIntosh¹¹ · H. Uitenbroek¹² ·
T.J. Okamoto¹³ · M.A. Gummin¹⁴ ·
G. Auker¹⁵ · P. Jerram¹⁵ · P. Pool¹⁵ ·
N. Waltham¹⁶ ·

¹ Lockheed Martin Solar & Astrophysics Laboratory,
Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Center, Org. A021S,
Bldg. 252, 3251 Hanover St., Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA
www: <http://iris.lmsal.com/> email: bdp@lmsal.com

² Lockheed Martin Advanced Technology Center, Palo Alto,
3251 Hanover St., Palo Alto, CA 94304, USA

Abstract The Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) small explorer spacecraft provides simultaneous spectra and images of the photosphere, chromosphere, transition region, and corona with 0.33–0.4 arcsec spatial resolution, 2 s temporal resolution and 1 km s^{−1} velocity resolution over a field-of-view of up to 175 arcsec × 175 arcsec. IRIS was launched into a Sun-synchronous orbit on 27 June 2013 using a Pegasus-XL rocket and consists of a 19-cm UV telescope that feeds a slit-based dual-bandpass imaging spectrograph. IRIS obtains spectra in passbands from 1332–1358 Å, 1389–1407 Å and 2783–2834 Å including bright spectral lines formed in the chromosphere (Mg II h 2803 Å and Mg II k 2796 Å) and transition region (C II 1334/1335 Å and Si IV 1394/1403 Å). Slit-jaw images in four different passbands (C II 1330, Si IV 1400, Mg II k 2796 and Mg II wing 2830 Å) can be taken simultaneously with spectral rasters that sample regions up to 130 arcsec × 175 arcsec at a variety of spatial samplings (from 0.33 arcsec and up). IRIS is sensitive to emission from plasma at temperatures between 5000 K and 10 MK and will advance our understanding of the flow of mass and energy through an *interface region*, formed by the chromosphere and transition region, between the photosphere and corona. This highly structured and dynamic region not only acts as the conduit of all mass and energy feeding into the corona and solar wind, it also requires an order of magnitude more energy to heat than the corona and solar wind combined. The IRIS investigation includes a strong numerical modeling component based on advanced radiative-MHD codes

³ Lockheed Martin, 1111 Lockheed Martin Way, Sunnyvale, CA 94089, USA

⁴ Harvard-Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

⁵ Department of Physics, Montana State University, Bozeman, P.O. Box 173840, Bozeman, MT 59717, USA

⁶ NASA Ames Research Center, Moffet Field, CA 94305, USA

⁷ Institute of Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1029 Blindern, Oslo, Norway

⁸ W.W. Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Center for Space Science and Astrophysics, Stanford University, CA 94305, USA

⁹ Norwegian Space Centre, P.O. Box 113 Skyen, N-0212 Oslo, Norway

¹⁰ Bay Area Environmental Research Institute, 596 1st St West, Sonoma, CA 95476, USA

¹¹ National Solar Observatory, Sacramento Peak, P.O. Box 62 Sunspot, NM 88349-0062, USA

¹² High Altitude Observatory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307, USA

¹³ ISAS/JAXA, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 252-5210, Japan

¹⁴ Alias Aerospace, Inc., 1731 Saint Andrews Court, St. Helena, CA 94584, USA

¹⁵ e2v technologies, 106 Waterhouse Lane, Chelmsford, Essex CM1 2QU, UK

¹⁶ Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Business Innovation Campus, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, UK

to facilitate interpretation of observations of this complex region. Approximately eight Gbytes of data (after compression) are acquired by IRIS each day and made available for unrestricted use within a few days of the observation.

Keywords: Heating, Chromospheric; Heating, Coronal; Chromosphere, Models; Chromosphere, Active; Corona, Active; Magnetic Fields, Chromosphere; Instrumentation and Data Management; Spectrum, Ultraviolet

1. Introduction

The chromosphere and transition region (TR) form a complex *interface region* between the solar surface and corona. Almost all of the mechanical energy that drives solar activity and solar atmospheric heating is converted into heat and radiation within this interface region, with only a small amount leaking through to power coronal heating and drive the solar wind. Understanding the chromosphere and TR is a foundational necessity for explaining the corona and heliosphere. They require a heating rate that is between one and two orders of magnitude larger than that of the corona. It is also here that we can find information on processes as diverse as the role of field-line braiding, interaction of the active-region and network field with the small-scale magnetic carpet, the interaction of emerging flux with the existing fields, wave propagation and mode conversion, mass supply to the corona and solar wind, and signatures of coronal processes through thermal conduction or energetic particles.

Despite the importance of the chromosphere and TR interface region for solar activity, the heating of the corona, and the genesis of the solar wind, this interface region remains poorly understood because it is highly complex and must be observed over a wide spectral range (from the visible to the EUV). As a result, it presents a challenging target for observers and modelers alike. The transition between high and low plasma β occurs somewhere between the photosphere and corona, so that in the interface region, the magnetic field and plasma compete for dominance (with a variety of impacts on, *e.g.*, waves, such as mode coupling, refraction and reflection). Within this region, the density drops by six orders of magnitude, and the temperature rapidly increases from 5000 to 1 million K, with strong gradients across the magnetic field evident from high-resolution images and spectra of the chromosphere (see, *e.g.*, Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6).

The plasma transitions from partially ionized in the chromosphere to fully ionized in the corona and shows evidence of supersonic and super-Alfvénic motions. In addition, the chromosphere is partially opaque, with non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) effects dominating the radiative transfer, so that interpreting the radiation, and determining the local energy balance and ionization state, is non-intuitive and requires advanced computer models. The highly dynamic nature of the chromosphere, as observed with Hinode (Kosugi *et al.*, 2007) and ground-based telescopes, further complicates attempts to better understand the interface region. This is both because high cadence observations are required (better than ≈ 20 seconds), and because the ionization states of some elements (*e.g.*, hydrogen) react only slowly to changes in the energy balance, and thus depend on the history of the plasma.




Figure 1. IRIS slit-jaw images (SJI) 1330 Å and 1400 Å of NOAA AR 11817 taken on 14 Aug 2013 at 1850 UT. These images are sensitive to plasma of 10 000-30 000 K (1330 Å) and 65 000 K (1400 Å) and show the upper chromosphere and low transition region. Both images also contain contributions from continuum that is formed in the low chromosphere. The dark vertical line in the middle of the images is the location of the slit. Corresponding spectra are shown in Figures 3, 4, 5.




Figure 2. IRIS SJI 2796 Å and 2830 Å images of NOAA AR 11817 taken on 14 Aug 2013 at 1850 UT. These images are sensitive to plasma of the upper chromosphere (2796 Å) and upper photosphere (2830 Å). The upper chromospheric image also contains contributions from the upper photosphere to the middle chromosphere, which are dominant in more quiet regions. The dark vertical line in the middle of the images is the location of the slit. Corresponding spectra are shown in Figures 3, 4, 5.




Figure 3. IRIS FUV 1 spectrum of NOAA AR 11817 taken on 14 Aug 2013 at 1850 UT. The two strong lines around 1334-1336 Å are C II lines that are formed in the upper chromosphere and low transition region. The lines longward of 1350 Å are Cl I, O I and C I lines that are formed in the low to middle chromosphere. Corresponding images are shown in Figures 1, 2.




Figure 4. IRIS FUV 2 spectrum of NOAA AR 11817 taken on 14 Aug 2013 at 1850 UT. The strongest lines are Si IV lines, formed in the transition region (65 000 K). The weak lines around the Si IV 1402 Å line are O IV lines which are formed around 150 000K under equilibrium conditions. The very faint vertical lines in the upper half of the detector are an example of the noise pattern caused by electronic interference during camera readout (see Section 7.1). Corresponding images are shown in Figures 1, 2.

The launch of the IRIS mission opens a new window into the complex physics of the interface region. The spectral ranges that IRIS observes have previously been studied at lower resolution using rockets (Bates *et al.*, 1969; Fredga, 1969; Kohl and Parkinson, 1976; Allen and McAllister, 1978; Morrill and Korndyke, 2008; West *et al.*, 2011; Dere, Bartoe, and Brueckner, 1984), balloons (Lemaire, 1969; Samain and Lemaire, 1985; Staath and Lemaire, 1995), or satellites (Doschek and Feldman, 1977; Bonnet *et al.*, 1978; Woodgate *et al.*, 1980;




Figure 5. IRIS NUV spectrum of NOAA AR 11817 taken on 14 Aug 2013 at 1850 UT. The two strong emission lines are Mg II k 2796 Å and Mg II h 2803 Å both formed over a range of heights from the upper photosphere to the upper chromosphere. This wavelength range also contains a multitude of photospheric lines. The thin horizontal lines are fiducial marks that allow for easy co-alignment. Corresponding images are shown in Figures 1, 2.

Lemaire and Skumanich, 1973; Roussel-Dupre and Shine, 1982; Billings, Roussel-Dupre, and Francis, 1977; Poland and Tandberg-Hanssen, 1983; Kingston *et al.*, 1982). IRIS draws on heritage solar instrumentation, such as the *Transition Region and Coronal Explorer* (TRACE: Handy *et al.*, 1999), the *Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager* (HMI: Scherrer *et al.*, 2012) and the *Atmospheric Imaging Assembly* (AIA: Lemen *et al.*, 2012) onboard the *Solar Dynamics Observatory* (SDO: Pesnell, Thompson, and Chamberlin, 2012), and it exploits advances in novel, high-throughput, and high-resolution instrumentation, efficient numerical simulation codes, and powerful, massively parallel supercomputers to aid interpretation of the data.

In Section 2 we give an overview of the IRIS observatory and its capabilities. We describe the science goals of the IRIS mission in Section 3 and the instrument in Section 4. The instrument observing approach and the day-to-day operations are described in Sections 5 and 6, respectively. We discuss the preliminary calibration of IRIS in Section 7, while the data processing is detailed in Section 8. We finish with a description of the numerical-simulations approach in the IRIS science investigation (Section 9). Our conclusions are given in Section 10.

2. IRIS Observatory

2.1. IRIS Properties

The conditions in the interface region present a significant challenge to observers. Observations with *Hinode* and ground-based instruments such as the *Interferometric Bidimensional Spectrometer* (IBIS: Cavallini, 2006) at the *Dunn Solar Telescope* (DST) and the *Crisp Imaging Spectropolarimeter* (CRISP: Scharmer




Figure 6. IRIS spectra of Mg II k 2796 Å (left panel), Si IV 1402 Å (middle panel), and 1400 SJI image (right panel) of an active region at the limb. The faint lines surrounding the bright Si IV line in the middle panel are O IV lines which can be used to determine densities in the transition region. Note the strong blue- and redward excursions in all spectral lines throughout the plage region. Off-limb the slit-jaw image captures spicules, coronal rain, and prominence material. The solar limb in the NUV spectrum (left panel) clearly shows the different range of formation heights for various spectral lines. The spacecraft was rolled by 90 degrees for these observations. The x and y coordinates are shown to indicate the scale of the image and spectra only.

et al., 2008) at the *Swedish Solar Telescope* (SST: Scharmer *et al.*, 2003) indicate that to properly capture the dynamics, fine-scale structuring, and small-scale wave motions, observations at a cadence better than 20 seconds and a spatial resolution of better than 0.5 arcsec are required. To track the thermal evolution of the heating and cooling plasma in the interface region, observations need to simultaneously cover temperatures from the photosphere into the corona. To diagnose plasma conditions such as velocity, turbulence, non-thermal energy, or density, spectroscopic information needs to be obtained at high resolution and high signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios. Velocity fields must be determined to an accuracy of 1 km s^{-1} (*e.g.*, through sub-pixel line centroiding), and to resolve non-thermal line broadening a spectral resolution of order $5\text{--}10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ is required. An instrument with these properties should be able to, *e.g.*, quantify the properties of the waves that permeate this interface region (De Pontieu *et al.*, 2007a; McIntosh *et al.*, 2011; Okamoto and De Pontieu, 2011; De Pontieu *et al.*, 2012; McIntosh and De Pontieu, 2012; Sekse *et al.*, 2013) and disentangle the complexities of the multiple spectral components that have been indirectly inferred using current spectroscopic data (De Pontieu *et al.*, 2009; Bryans, Young, and Doschek, 2010; Tian *et al.*, 2011; McIntosh *et al.*, 2012).

The observational requirements given above drove the IRIS mission to provide the following essential capabilities:

- High spatial resolution (0.4 arcsec) spectroscopic and (context) imaging data over a field of view of at least 120 arcsec providing diagnostics from the

photosphere to the corona, with a focus on the chromosphere and transition region.

- A high signal-to-noise ratio for two second exposures for a few select bright lines covering chromosphere and transition region allowing velocity determination with 1 km s^{-1} accuracy and 3 km s^{-1} spectral pixels.
- High cadence spectral (20 s) and imaging (10 s) observations covering a small region of the Sun ($5 \times 120 \text{ arcsec}$) for periods of up to eight hours continuously.
- Eclipse-free observations for up to eight months per year with about 15 X-band passes per day and an average data rate of 0.7 Mbit s^{-1} .

These capabilities are met by a design that includes the following:

- A 19-cm Cassegrain telescope that feeds a dual-range UV spectrograph (SG) and slit-jaw imager, with 0.16 arcsec pixels and four 2061×1056 CCDs.
- A slit-jaw imager that includes four passbands with two transition-region lines (C II 1335 \AA and Si IV 1400 \AA), one chromospheric line (Mg II k 2796 \AA) and one photospheric passband (2830 \AA), covering a field-of-view of $175 \text{ arcsec} \times 175 \text{ arcsec}$.
- A spectrograph with 0.33 arcsec wide and 175 arcsec long slit that covers FUV passbands from 1332 \AA to 1358 \AA and 1389 \AA to 1407 \AA and an NUV passband from 2783 \AA to 2835 \AA . These passbands include lines formed over a wide range of temperatures from the photosphere (5000 K) to the corona (1 to 10 million K).
- CCD detectors with a full well of $150\,000$ electrons, with a camera readout noise of $<20 \text{ e}^-$, and data compression that is nearly lossless.
- Instrument control software that allows for flexible rastering of the slit across the Sun (up to 21 arcmin from disk center), onboard summing, and various slit-jaw choices and cadences.
- A baseline cadence of 3 seconds per spectral raster position, 5 seconds for slit-jaw images.

These capabilities enable IRIS to observe the thermal evolution of plasma from photospheric to coronal temperatures at the spatio-temporal resolution required for the highly dynamic interface region. The spectral, temporal, and spatial resolution, and spectral coverage and effective areas of IRIS constitute significant advances over previous instrumentation. The IRIS throughput is more than an order of magnitude better than that of previous spectrographs such as the *Solar Ultraviolet Measurements of Emitted Radiation* instrument (SUMER: Wilhelm *et al.*, 1995) or the *Extreme ultraviolet Imaging Spectrograph* (EIS: Culhane *et al.*, 2007), both of which lack slit-jaw imaging for context. In its typical operational mode, IRIS obtains spectra about five to ten times faster than SUMER or EIS. The effective spatial resolution of IRIS is 0.4 arcsec , compared with the Nyquist-limited resolution of two arcsec of EIS or SUMER. IRIS enables imaging of the interface region with ten resolution elements for each of SDO/AIA's and 25 for each of SOHO/SUMER or Hinode/EIS. The velocity resolution of IRIS is more than three times better than SUMER and ten times better than EIS.




Figure 7. Schematic view of IRIS showing the 19-cm UV telescope, with and without solar panels (for clarity). Light from the Cassegrain telescope (green) is fed into the spectrograph box (light blue).

2.2. Orbit

IRIS was launched by an Orbital Space Systems Pegasus-XL rocket on 27 June 2013 into a Sun-synchronous, low-Earth orbit with an inclination of 97.9 degrees, perigee of 620 km and apogee of 670 km with a 6 AM ascending node. For the first few years of operations, this orbit enables eclipse-free viewing from the beginning of February until the end of October. The rest of the year (November through January) the Earth blocks the IRIS view of the Sun for part of the orbit. The baseline plan is that instrument operations during eclipse season are not high priority, although power and thermal conditions will be assessed during the first eclipse season to determine the extent of IRIS operations during eclipse season.

IRIS passes through the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) and the northern and southern high latitude zones (HLZs). While SAA passes lead to significantly increased numbers of energetic particle hits, the IRIS detectors have been shielded sufficiently so that flying through the HLZs has minimal impact on the quality of the data.

2.3. Observatory

The IRIS observatory mass is 183 kg with 87 kg for the instrument and 96 kg for the spacecraft bus. The IRIS spacecraft bus, designed and delivered by Lockheed Martin Civil Space (formerly LM Sensing and Exploration Systems), is a rigid design whose frame is machined from a single piece of aluminum with

honeycomb aluminum forward and aft decks (Figure 7). Eight equipment bays house the spacecraft electronics boxes, reaction wheels, and the battery. The observatory measures about 2.18 m from the back of the spacecraft bus to the front of the telescope. The solar arrays deployed are 3.6 m tip to tip. The two solar arrays measure 0.6×1.3 m each, with a total surface area of 1.7 m^2 producing 340 W. IRIS is three axis stabilized. The attitude control system (ACS) is gyroless using two star trackers, four reaction wheels, coarse and digital sun sensors, and a magnetometer. The instrument guide telescope provides a high-resolution pointing signal to the ACS during normal science operations. Magnetic torque rods are used to manage the momentum of the reaction wheels, transferring energy to the Earth’s magnetic field as needed. There is no propulsion system and there are no consumables onboard. The ACS can point the IRIS telescope boresight to any location on the solar disk or above the limb within 21 arcminutes of disk center, and roll the spacecraft (and thus, the spectrograph slit), up to $\pm 90^\circ$ (at 0° the slit is oriented parallel to N–S on the Sun). IRIS is equipped with two omnidirectional S-band antennas for uplinking of commands and downlinking of engineering data, and an X-band antenna for downlinking of science data. The S-band provides uplink at 2 kbit s^{-1} and downlink at 256 kbit s^{-1} , whereas the X-band provides downlink at 15 Mbit s^{-1} including the overhead of Low Density Parity Checking (LDPC) 7/8s encoding. The effective downlink rate is 13 Mbit s^{-1} (excluding overhead) during up to 15 passes per day with the antennas of Kongsberg Satellite Services (KSAT) in Svalbard, Norway, as well as some passes from NASA’s Near Earth Network (NEN) in Alaska and Wallops.

3. Science Overview

The IRIS investigation covers a broad range of scientific objectives that focus on three major questions which form the foundation of the IRIS investigation and have driven the requirements for the IRIS instrument design. Below we list an overview of the science issues covered by these three science questions.

3.1. Which Types of Non-Thermal Energy Dominate in the Chromosphere and Beyond?

We still do not know which modes of non-thermal energy power the chromosphere, TR, corona, and solar wind. We know that waves, electrical currents, and magnetic reconnection all may release substantial energy, and that non-thermal particles, resistive dissipation, and wave damping occur. Yet, it remains unclear how much each of these contributes, how that depends on local conditions, and how and where the conversion of non-thermal to thermal energy happens in detail.

3.1.1. Waves

A variety of different wave modes have been observed in the past few years, from mostly acoustic modes and atmospheric gravity waves to Alfvén waves. However,

there are still many unresolved questions regarding the role of these waves in the solar atmosphere.

For example, the role of acoustic power in the heating of the chromosphere and its potential role in the corona remains a puzzle, with some researchers reporting too little power and others arguing there should be enough. Most of the power in these modes lies in the range of the five minute p -mode spectrum and the 10–15 minute time scale of granular evolution, but waves have been measured for periods as short as ≈ 25 seconds with some observations suggesting that these high frequency waves carry a large, potentially dominant, energy flux. In addition, the normally evanescent p -mode oscillations may play a major role in the energy balance of the chromosphere in and around magnetic-field concentrations (Jefferies *et al.*, 2006, so-called acoustic portals). The propagation of these sound waves along magnetic-field lines leads to the formation of slow-mode magnetoacoustic shocks that propel plasma upwards to form so-called dynamic fibrils (Hansteen *et al.*, 2006; De Pontieu *et al.*, 2007b; Rouppe van der Voort *et al.*, 2007; Kato *et al.*, 2011). The role of these shocks in heating the magnetic chromosphere remains unknown but may be quite significant, based on analysis of spectra of the Ca II H line (Beck *et al.*, 2008). Similarly, the enormous energy flux carried by atmospheric gravity waves in the internetwork (Straus *et al.*, 2008) and the potential for mode coupling to other wave modes (*e.g.*, Alfvén waves) around magnetic-field concentrations (Cally and Goossens, 2008) highlights another potential source of non-radiative energy.

Various types of mostly transverse MHD waves (such as Alfvén waves) are likely more efficient at traveling into the corona and solar wind, but their detailed energy budget remains mysterious. For example, relatively low-frequency (≈ 1 to 8 mHz) transverse and torsional (Alfvénic) motions have now been measured in the chromosphere and corona (Tomczyk *et al.*, 2007; De Pontieu *et al.*, 2007a; Tomczyk and McIntosh, 2009; McIntosh *et al.*, 2011; Liu *et al.*, 2011; De Pontieu *et al.*, 2012; Wedemeyer-Böhm *et al.*, 2012; Su *et al.*, 2013; Verwichte *et al.*, 2013), but it is unclear what role higher frequency waves play, how these waves are generated and how they are dissipated.

IRIS spectroscopic measurements of waves as they propagate from the photosphere through the chromosphere and transition region into the corona will establish an energy flux budget, allowing us to disentangle wave mode coupling, dissipation and propagation.

3.1.2. Currents and Reconnection

Establishing the energy associated with resistive dissipation of electrical currents within the chromosphere has been challenging. These currents are expected to be intense in compact fibrils. Any dissipation within the chromosphere can be expected to be associated with rapid temperature increases. Clearly, tracing the thermal evolution of chromospheric plasma holds large potential for providing information on how much electromagnetic energy is transformed into heat and how much energy propagates downwards from the corona as thermal energy or energetic particles in events ranging from microflares to X-class flares. Both of these types of processes are expected to occur, but we do not know their relative roles under a variety of conditions.

The multi-fluid aspects introduced by the partial ionization of the chromosphere can dramatically alter the way in which electrical currents (and magnetic fields) are generated and dissipated (see, *e.g.*, Arber, Haynes, and Leake, 2007) and thus provide a promising method of providing energy to the plasma. Various examples have recently been studied. For example, Goodman and Kazeminezhad (2010) focused on the dissipation of short period shock waves from ion-neutral resistivity, whereas Fontenla, Peterson, and Harder (2008) studied the Farley–Bunemann instability (but see Gogoberidze *et al.*, 2009) which depends critically on the ion magnetization (and thus the ion–neutral collision frequency and ionization degree). The importance of ion–neutral coupling has also been illustrated in advanced numerical models of the coupled solar atmosphere in which the chromosphere is self-consistently heated by ambipolar diffusion of currents generated through braiding of the magnetic field from magneto-convection (Martínez-Sykora, De Pontieu, and Hansteen, 2012).

A major unresolved issue is the role of reconnection of strong network or plage magnetic fields with the ubiquitous weak magnetic fields of mixed polarity on granular scales (Lites *et al.*, 2008) in energizing the low solar atmosphere. Because the amount of weak flux that emerges on granular scales per day is much larger than the flux associated with active region emergence over a full solar cycle, it is clear that if even a fraction of the weak fields reconnect with strong network or plage fields, the resulting energy release could be a dominant contributor to chromospheric or even coronal heating. Yet we do not know how much of this flux is dissipated in the solar atmosphere before most of it is recycled below the surface of the Sun.

IRIS measurements of chromospheric and coronal heating will help determine the sites of enhanced dissipation. Coordinated magnetic field observations from ground and space-based telescopes will reveal the role of magnetic field dissipation in the heating of the chromosphere and corona.

3.2. How Does the Chromosphere Regulate the Mass and Energy Supply to the Corona and Heliosphere?

The heating of the solar corona and the acceleration of the solar wind remain mysterious. IRIS contributes to our understanding by showing us in what form non-radiative energy is transmitted by the chromosphere into the corona. The studies described above help constrain wave energy fluxes, while imaging of the chromosphere and photosphere combined with modeling constrains the forcing of the field into braids (see, *e.g.*, Cirtain *et al.*, 2013, Guerreiro, Hansteen, and De Pontieu, 2013), and twists by flows. IRIS also sheds light on how and where non-thermal energy is first released. Non-radiative energy deposited into the corona is lost both by radiation and transfer into the lower layers of the solar atmosphere. The transport to the lower layers can be thermal conduction, in which a relatively smooth temporal evolution can be expected, leading to evaporation of warming material from the top of the chromosphere. Alternatively, energy can propagate downward as energetic particles that can penetrate into the high chromosphere: such particle precipitations are likely more intermittent as they rapidly shift in position. Recent high-resolution observations in the transition region show direct

evidence of this type of process (under some magnetic field conditions) in active regions (Testa *et al.*, 2013; Winebarger *et al.*, 2013).

Other recent studies suggest that heating to coronal temperatures may occur primarily in the chromosphere and transition region, as evidenced, *e.g.*, by chromospheric jets such as spicules (De Pontieu *et al.*, 2011), and their faint coronal counterparts, visible only as slight enhancements in the blue wing of coronal spectral lines (De Pontieu *et al.*, 2009).

IRIS contributes to all of these issues by providing high resolution and high signal-to-noise spectra and context images of spectral lines formed over a wide range of temperatures. Such measurements help reveal complex line shapes that arise along the line-of-sight in an interface region in which strong explosive upflows, gentle evaporative flows and downflows all co-exist (McIntosh *et al.*, 2012).

IRIS high-cadence observations significantly improve our ability to determine how much wave power is available to heat and accelerate the plasma in open-field regions at the base of the solar wind. Measurements of transverse and longitudinal waves, and their possible couplings to the solar wind, also provide constraints to models of the origin of turbulence in the heliosphere (Axford *et al.*, 1999; Tu and Marsch, 1997; Cranmer, van Ballegoijen, and Edgar, 2007).

3.3. How Does Magnetic Flux and Matter Rise Through the Lower Atmosphere, and What Role Does Flux Emergence Play in Flares and Mass Ejections?

As magnetic field breaches the surface of the Sun, it begins to interact and reconnect with the existing field into which it intrudes. IRIS observations of flows help reveal the 3D flow patterns associated with flux emergence. The upflows of a few km s^{-1} in the low chromosphere, the associated downdrafts of the draining archfilament system at larger speeds, and the field expansion in the chromosphere and corona at Alfvén speeds are all measurable with IRIS. These observations will also help to uncover the reconnection processes that happen early in the life of active regions down to small granular-scale fields.

Emerging flux appears to play a key role in many flare phenomena. Observing flux as it breaches the surface and the lower chromosphere is an important diagnostic to understand flare initiation: chromospheric reconnection has been inferred in cases where emerging flux triggers a flare, and particle precipitation results in chromospheric ribbons both as tracers of coronal reconnections and as power sources for chromospheric evaporation. Observations of such flux emergence with Hinode suggest that the site of first energy release in a major flare occurred where strong electrical currents emerged. The thermal coverage and high resolution of IRIS allow insight into how the atmosphere evolves in the case of such a rapid energy release, and help constrain why flares are initiated where they are.

Spectroscopic observations of solar eruptions such as jets, flares, and CMEs can provide valuable information on the dynamics and plasma properties of the erupted materials (Harra *et al.*, 2007; Tian *et al.*, 2012; Young *et al.*, 2013). IRIS' higher spectral resolution, higher cadence, and chromospheric and transition region response make it a unique instrument to study the initiation and early evolution of solar eruptions.

Table 1. IRIS instrument characteristics

Primary diameter	19 cm
Effective focal length	6.895 m
Field of view	175 × 175 arcsec ² (SJI)
	0.33 × 175 arcsec ² (SG – slit)
	130 × 175 arcsec ² (SG – raster)
Spatial scale (pixel)	0.167 arcsec
Spatial resolution	0.33 arcsec (FUV)
	0.4 arcsec (NUV)
Spectral scale (pixel)	12.8 mÅ (FUV)
	25.6 mÅ (NUV)
Spectral resolution	26 mÅ (FUV SG)
	53 mÅ (NUV SG)
Bandwidth	55 Å (FUV SJI)
	4 Å (NUV SJI)
CCD detectors	Four e2v 2061 × 1056 pixels, thinned, back-illuminated
CCD cameras	Two 4-port readout cameras (SDO flight spares)
Detector full well	150 000 electrons
Typical exposure times	0.5 to 30 seconds
Flight Computer	BAe RAD 6000
Mass	
Instrument	87 kg
Spacecraft	96 kg
Total	183 kg
Power	
Instrument	55 W
Spacecraft	247 W
Total	302 W
Science Telemetry	
Average downlink rate	0.7 Mbit s ⁻¹
X-band downlink rate	13 Mbit s ⁻¹
Total data volume	≈ 20 Gbytes (uncompressed) per day

4. Instrument Overview

Portions of the IRIS instrument are described in some detail in pre-launch articles by Wülser *et al.* (2012) and Podgorski *et al.* (2012) and key parameters are provided in Table 1. Here we briefly summarize the instrument capabilities and refer the reader desiring more technical details to the above mentioned spectrograph and telescope articles.

The IRIS instrument uses a Cassegrain telescope with a 19-cm primary mirror and an active secondary mirror with a focus mechanism (Figures 7, 8). The telescope has a field of view of about 3 arcmin × 3 arcmin and feeds far UV (FUV, from 1332 to 1407 Å) and near UV (NUV, from 2783 to 2835 Å) light into a spectrograph box (Figures 3, 4, 5, 6). Dielectric coatings throughout the optical path ensure visible and IR radiation is suppressed. Most of the solar energy passes through the ULE substrate of the primary mirror and is radiated

Table 2. IRIS spectrograph channels. Dispersion, Camera Electronics Box (CEB), and Effective Area (EA) vary for the three band passes.

Band	Wavelength [Å]	Disp. [mÅ pix ⁻¹]	FOV ["]	Pixel ["]	CEB	Shutter	EA [cm ²]	Temp. [log T]
FUV 1	1331.7–1358.4	12.98	175	0.1663	1	FUV SG	1.6	3.7–7.0
FUV 2	1389.0–1407.0	12.72	175	0.1663	1	FUV SG	2.2	3.7–5.2
NUV	2782.7–2835.1	25.46	175	0.1664	2	NUV SG	0.2	3.7–4.2

Table 3. IRIS slitjaw channels. Filterwheel positions can be either transmitting (T) or reflecting/mirrors (M).

Band-pass	FW	Name	Center [Å]	Width [Å]	FOV [" × "]	Pix. ["]	EA [cm ²]	Temp. [log T]
Glass	1 T	5000	5000	broad	175 ²	0.1679	-	-
C II	31 M	1330	1340	55	175 ²	0.1656	0.5	3.7–7.0
Mg II h/k	61 T	2796	2796	4	175 ²	0.1679	0.005	3.7–4.2
Si IV	91 M	1400	1390	55	175 ²	0.1656	0.6	3.7–5.2
Mg II wing	121 T	2832	2830	4	175 ²	0.1679	0.004	3.7–3.8
Broad	151 M	1600W	1370	90	175 ²	0.1656	-	-

back into space. The FUV and NUV light follow several paths in the spectrograph box, as illustrated in Figure 9:

- Spectrograph (SG): light passes through a slit that is 0.33 arcsec wide and 175 arcsec long, and is dispersed onto either an NUV or an FUV grating. Light from the FUV grating is collected by two CCDs and light from the NUV grating is collected by a separate CCD (Table 2).
- Slit-jaw Imager (SJI): light is reflected off the reflective area around the slit (slit-jaw), passing through or reflecting off broadband filters in the filterwheel onto a fourth CCD to produce an image of the scene around the slit in six different filters (two for ground testing, four for solar images, Table 3).

As indicated above, in the spectrograph focal plane there are four back-thinned CCD sensors with 2061×1056 pixels, three in the spectrograph path (two for FUV, one for NUV), and one CCD at the slit-jaw focal plane. Each $13\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ pixel corresponds to 0.167 arcsec in the spatial direction, and 12.8 mÅ in the spectral direction for FUV spectra, and 25.5 mÅ for NUV spectra (the detailed plate scales for each channel are given in Tables 2 and 3). IRIS has an effective spatial resolution between 0.33 (FUV) and 0.4 arcsec (NUV), and an effective spectral resolution of 26 mÅ in the FUV and 53 mÅ in the NUV. The four CCDs are controlled by two camera electronics boxes (CEBs). Exposure times are controlled by three different mechanical shutters (FUV, NUV and

Figure 8. Conceptual design of the IRIS instrument. Sunlight enters from the right. For the flight design the telescope and guide telescope assemblies are rotated 180 degrees about the instrument axis relative to the spectrograph box (see Figure 7).

The field of view imaged by IRIS is 175×175 arcsec² for the slit-jaw images. To produce a raster of spectra of the Sun, the IRIS active secondary mirror is scanned (using PZTs) in the direction perpendicular to the slit, causing different regions of the Sun to be exposed onto the slit. The slit scan range is ± 65 arcsec, so that the maximum field of view of the IRIS rasters is 130×175 arcsec² for the SG. The IRIS slit is nominally oriented parallel to the solar rotation axis (solar North), but the spacecraft can be rolled to any angle between -90° and $+90^\circ$ from solar North for extended periods of time.

4.1. Telescope




Figure 9. Path taken by light in the FUV spectrograph (dark blue), NUV spectrograph (orange), FUV slitjaw (light blue) and NUV slitjaw (purple) path.

4.2. Spectrograph

The telescope feeds light into the spectrograph box (Figure 8) which contains the Czerny–Turner spectrograph (Figure 9). The light from the telescope is focused on the slit assembly. The slit assembly is a prism that has a reflective coating, which also contains the slit. The reflective coating directs the light into the slit-jaw imager path. Light that goes through the slit into the prism is dispersed, directing FUV light in the 1332–1407 Å range and NUV light in the 2783–2835 Å wavelength range onto separate parts of the collimator mirror. The slit/predisperser prism assembly ensures that both FUV and NUV passbands image the same region on the Sun within the $1/3 \times 175$ arcsec² entrance slit.

After the collimator, the FUV and NUV SG spectrograph beams are fed to separate gratings, camera mirrors, and detectors (Table 2 and Figure 9). The FUV and NUV gratings, fabricated by Horiba Jobin–Yvon, have a groove density of 3600 lines mm⁻¹ and are described in more detail by Wülser *et al.* (2012). The FUV and NUV SG beams have separate shutters and are recorded onto three separate CCDs – two for the FUV and one for the NUV. The two FUV CCDs observe two separate wavelength ranges: one that includes two bright C II lines (1332–1358 Å), and another that contains Si IV and O IV lines (1389–1407 Å). These two FUV CCDs are controlled by the same CEB and read out as if they were one CCD. The NUV passband from 2783–2835 Å is recorded by a CCD that is controlled by a different CEB (which also reads out the SJI CCD).

IRIS spectral rasters are formed by scanning across the solar surface using the PZTs to change the orientation of the secondary mirror. Typical observing programs include both the FUV and NUV SG passband. The FUV and NUV spectral bandpasses cover spectral lines and continua that in the solar atmosphere are formed over a range of temperatures $\log T$ [K] = 3.7–7. Table 4

Table 4. Thermal coverage of IRIS spectrograph

Ion	Wavelength [Å]	Dispersion [mÅ pix ⁻¹]	Log T [log K]	Passband	CEB
Mg II wing	2820	25.46	3.7-3.9	NUV	2
O I	1355.6	12.98	3.8	FUV 1	1
Mg II h	2803.5	25.46	4.0	NUV	2
Mg II k	2796.4	25.46	4.0	NUV	2
C II	1334.5	12.98	4.3	FUV 1	1
C II	1335.7	12.98	4.3	FUV 1	1
Si IV	1402.8	12.72	4.8	FUV 2	1
Si IV	1393.8	12.72	4.8	FUV 2	1
O IV	1399.8	12.72	5.2	FUV 2	1
O IV	1401.2	12.72	5.2	FUV 2	1
Fe XII	1349.4	12.98	6.2	FUV 1	1
Fe XXI	1354.1	12.98	7.0	FUV 1	1

describes these lines in more detail. The brightest lines in the SG are the C II lines around 1335 Å, Si IV 1394 Å, Si IV 1403 Å, Mg II k 2796 Å, and Mg II h 2803 Å. These are the lines that are included in routine, high-cadence raster scans where exposure times are of the order of two seconds. The O IV, Fe XII, and Fe XXI lines are fainter and require longer exposure times.

4.3. Slit-jaw Imager

The slit-jaw images that are reflected off the slit/prism assembly next reach the filter wheel. The filter wheel includes six different filters (four for solar applications, and two for ground testing; Table 3). The filter wheel can be rotated to place any one of the filters in the beam. The NUV filters are all transmitting, whereas the FUV filters are reflective, ensuring a different path for the NUV and FUV SJI beams. Each of these beams includes separate reimaging and fold mirrors. Both beams encounter the same shutter mechanism and are recorded on the same CCD, with one half observing the NUV SJI images, and the other half the FUV SJI images.

The FUV beam includes a fixed FUV bandpass filter to block light with longer wavelengths. The NUV beam includes a Solc filter with a free spectral range of 33 Å to reduce the near-UV bandwidth to 3.6 Å (Berger *et al.*, 2012). The Mg II k and Mg II wing SJI filter options are realized by combining a broader interference filter (≈ 15 Å) in the filterwheel with the narrow-band Solc filter.

The four solar SJI filter options are dominated by emission from, respectively, C II 1334/1335 Å, Si IV 1394/1403 Å, Mg II k 2796 Å, and the wing of Mg II around 2830 Å. The relatively broad passbands imply that contributions from continuum or wing emission are significant and, depending on solar conditions, can be dominant. Nevertheless, the bright lines are expected to contribute significantly to the SJI images. The SJI images were chosen to provide diagnostics

over a wide temperature range, as described in Table 3. The C II SJI filter images may include emission from the Fe XXI line under flaring conditions.

To enable solid co-alignment between the various SJI and SG channels, fiducial marks have been added to the slit. These are gaps two pixels long along the slit, one in the top half of the CCD and one in the bottom half of the CCD. These fiducial marks show up as dark features in the spectra and as bright regions in the slit portion of the SJI images.

4.4. CCD Detector and Camera System

The IRIS CCDs are custom designed e2v devices (CCD267) that are similar to the AIA CCDs and are electrically compatible with the SDO camera design. The CCDs are back-thinned and back-illuminated with a pixel size of $13\text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Each CCD has 2061×1056 pixels and two readout amplifiers that enable both halves of the CCD to be read out simultaneously. The IRIS CCDs have been treated with e2v's proprietary backside-enhancement process, which enables a quantum efficiency of about 31 % at $1400\text{ }\text{\AA}$. The enhancement treatment results in an annealing pattern, visible in CCD flat-field images as an approximately rectangular grid of lines that show sensitivity differences of order 5–10 % (Wülser *et al.*, 2012).

The IRIS cameras are flight spares from SDO (AIA and HMI). They were developed at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory and described in more detail by Lemen *et al.* (2012). Each camera has four read ports and, for SDO, each CEB was dedicated to a four-port AIA or HMI CCD. For IRIS, one CEB is used to read two CCD267 devices, with two ports per device. CEB 1 reads out simultaneously the four amplifiers from the FUV 1 and FUV 2 spectrograph CCDs. CEB 2 reads the two ports of the NUV SG CCD and the two ports of the slit-jaw imager CCD. The data is read out at two Mpixels s^{-1} through each interface, with less than 20 electrons of read noise. The cameras communicate with the IRIS electronics box through an IEEE 1355/SpaceWire link. The camera has programmable control of the waveform generator to provide different operating modes: full frame or subfield readout, gain and pedestal offset, flush, on-board summing, and the so-called inhibit skip mode (which allows very rapid repeats of exposures by skipping the full readout, but thus leaving some charge on the CCD).

4.5. Guide Telescope and Image Stabilization System

The IRIS instrument has a guide telescope (GT) and image-stabilization system (ISS) that are based on the systems used for TRACE and AIA. In coordination with the spacecraft Attitude Control System (ACS), the GT allows pointing to chosen solar targets. The ACS also can roll the spacecraft to any angle in the range $\pm 90^\circ$ and provides short-term pointing stability to arcsec or better accuracy, using the error signals from the GT. The ISS removes faster jitter up to about 25 Hz and provides pointing stability down to 0.05 arcsec r.m.s.

The GT consists of an achromatic refractor with an entrance filter that has a bandpass centered at $5700\text{ }\text{\AA}$ with a FWHM of $500\text{ }\text{\AA}$. Four redundant photodiodes positioned behind an occulter measure the position of the solar limb.

Intensity differences between opposite diodes are used to derive a displacement error signal with a linear range greater than ± 80 arcsec. These analog error signals in the pitch and yaw directions are sent to the three piezoelectric transducers that tilt the secondary mirror of the main telescope. The IRIS flight software samples the GT error signals at a 32 Hz rate with a 12-bit ADC for 0.06 arcsec resolution. These are averaged and sent to the ACS at 5 Hz, which controls the spacecraft pointing closed-loop to null these errors in pitch and yaw when in Fine Sun Pointing mode. The ACS uses dual star trackers to control roll and also for coarser pointing in pitch and yaw, when the GT is not in use (also known as Inertial Sun Pointing mode).

A pair of independently rotatable optical wedges (Risley prisms) are mounted between the entrance filter and the objective lens so that the GT can be oriented off the optical axis of the main IRIS telescope by up to 21 arcmin. Since the ACS continually adjusts the pointing to keep the GT solar image centered on the four diodes, this means that the main IRIS telescope is off-pointed from disk center to a chosen offset location. The hollow-core wedge motors are based on HMI designs and rotate the wedge prisms in discrete steps, so that the possible pointing locations are a grid in polar coordinates with a maximum spacing of 16 arcsec. Moving from one position on the solar disk to another can be done in Fine Sun Pointing mode, with the wedges moving in steps and the ACS following the desired pointing. This typically takes about two minutes and is less than six minutes in the longest cases. Fine-scale pointing below the wedge grid resolution is done using the PZTs of the secondary mirror for any pointing offset that cannot be reached because of the discretization of the wedge motor positions.

The error signals sent to the PZTs tilt the mirror and keep the solar image stably positioned on the slit to a precision of < 0.05 arcsec. Motions of the three PZTs are constrained so that the mirror only tilts and telescope focus is maintained. The ISS is an open-loop control system in the sense that the error signal is derived from the GT rather than from motions of the image in the telescope focal plane. Therefore, careful calibration is needed to match the voltage scale of the PZT actuators with that of the GT error signals. In addition, the PZT actuators are part of a closed-loop servo in which the feedback signals are derived from strain gauges incorporated into the actuators. Using the strain gauges, accurate and repeatable image offsets can be commanded while jitter compensation continues. The PZTs have a linear range of ± 80 arcsec and a response time of 25 ms. We reserve ± 65 arcsec for raster scans and the rest for a combination of jitter correction, transients caused by momentum management or filterwheel motion, orbital wobble, and wedge motor granularity.

The performance of the ACS and ISS has been measured on-orbit, showing that the short-term pointing stability is usually better than 0.25 arcsec r.m.s. before correction by the ISS, and better than 0.05 arcsec after correction. The worst transients are caused by large filterwheel moves and momentum management of the reaction wheels. A filterwheel move of 180° causes a rigid rotation of the spacecraft of three arcsec over 0.5 seconds, followed by an ACS response with an overshoot of similar magnitude lasting 10–15 seconds. The transient is only in the direction perpendicular to the slit (EW when the spacecraft is at 0° roll angle, *i.e.*, aligned NS) and is attenuated by the ISS to < 0.10 arcsec.

Momentum management transients occur when the magnetic torquers are used to reduce reaction wheel speeds. This occurs roughly once per orbit, lasts one to two minutes, and causes pointing transients of a few arcsec at most, which are slow enough that the ISS reduces the disturbance to a level similar to that of the filterwheel transient. Since the GT does not sense rotation of the solar disk about its center, the GT does not measure spacecraft roll errors. When the science telescope is pointing away from disk center, roll errors translate to line-of-sight pointing errors in the azimuthal direction, with a magnitude of 17 arcsec for 1° of roll error. The ACS roll errors are usually less than 20 arcsec, so these are negligible. Occasionally, a short outage occurs during the handover from one star tracker to the other, and this causes a roll error that results in a pointing transient of about one arcsec if observing at the limb.

The ACS/ISS system allows us to point anywhere on the Sun (within 21 arcminutes off disk center) with an accuracy of about five arcsec (caused by uncertainty in the wedge motor positions).

As expected from pre-launch analyses, thermal bending of the GT mounting causes a slowly varying pointing wobble that is in phase with the orbital thermal conditions (*i.e.*, the satellite orientation with respect to the Earth's albedo). This wobble is of order a few arcsec over the course of one orbit and is relatively stable from day-to-day. The pointing wobble is nulled out by using an orbital wobble table (see below) that includes an additional correction signal for the PZTs that is applied as a function of time since the last ascending node. On-orbit tests show that the correction signal typically reduces the residual wobble to less than 0.3 arcsec.

4.6. Mechanisms

IRIS contains a total of eight mechanisms consisting of five distinct types. The IRIS telescope has a front door, focus, filter wheel, three shutter mechanisms, and the two GT wedge motors (discussed earlier).

The front door is designed to keep the interior of the telescope sealed from any contaminants during launch. It is based on the design for AIA in which the door is latched shut with a high-output paraffin actuator. The door hinge is springloaded and the door is slightly bowed to promote the 180-degree opening when on orbit. The IRIS door was successfully opened at the end of the bake-out period, about three weeks after launch.

The IRIS telescope has a focus mechanism that is based on the AIA focus mechanism, and it adjusts the position of the secondary mirror relative to the primary along the optical axis by up to $1277\mu\text{m}$ in $2.28\mu\text{m}$ steps. Given the magnification factor of five, this corresponds to approximately $57\mu\text{m}$ change in focus per step. The focus can be adjusted as often as every set of exposures, but this is not necessary for routine science observations, and is only used for some calibrations.

The filterwheel mechanism is based on the HMI focus mechanism. It consists of a thin, brushless, DC motor manufactured by H. Magnetics to which is added an optical encoder. The mechanism is operated as a stepper motor with 180 steps

per revolution. The motor has six primary positions and a move between positions (*i.e.*, 30 steps per position, Table 3) requires approximately 0.18 seconds per position, plus an additional 0.07 seconds of overhead.

The shutters are based on the designs for the AIA and HMI shutters. Three shutters are installed: one each for FUV, NUV, and SJI. The main difference between these shutters is that the FUV shutter is significantly larger than the other two shutters so that it can cover the two FUV CCDs. The minimum exposure times that are supported are 112 ms for the FUV and 36 ms for both the SJI and NUV.

4.7. Electronics

The IRIS electronics are based on heritage from the AIA electronics box. The IRIS electronics box (IEB) provides power and housekeeping interfaces to the spacecraft in addition to the science-data interface by way of two redundant IEEE 1355 SpaceWire ports. A BAe RAD6000 CPU supports the flight software, which is responsible for receiving commands from the spacecraft and controls the flow of housekeeping data and science data to the spacecraft. It is also responsible for the interfaces to the mechanisms, the heaters, the guide telescope, and the cameras. The portion of the flight software that controls the science observing program is called the instrument sequencer, and is described in the next section.

5. Instrument Sequencer

5.1. Sequencer Overview

IRIS observations are controlled by the instrument sequencer portion of the flight software, which makes use of a series of tables, which are prepared on the ground, checked into a database, and uploaded to the spacecraft as needed. Through these tables, the observational goals are achieved by specifying the number, order, and cadence of images and spectra to be acquired. The tables specify how the flight software controls the mechanisms, cameras, and the data-processing chain that delivers the data to the spacecraft. There are four main types of sequencer tables (see Table 5), which have a hierarchical structure. The lowest level are the Camera Readout Structure (CRS) and Frame Definition Block (FDB) tables, which control details for reading out the CCD. The Frame List (FRM) controls the timing and order in which CCD frames are acquired. The highest level is the Observing List or OBS table, which contains a science program made up of various FRMs. Observing lists are scheduled in a timeline that is typically uploaded on a daily basis.

The CRS, FDB, FRM, and OBS tables contain all the information required to acquire an image or spectrum:

1. CRS table data controls which data are read out from the CCD and sent on to the data compression/high rate interface (DC/HRI) board.
2. The FDB configures the shutter to obtain the desired exposure time.
3. At the time specified by the FRM and OBS the following sequence is started:

Table 5. IRIS sequencer tables. The sequencer tables have a hierarchical structure. OBS tables are the “highest” level and they “call” FRM tables, which in turn “call” FDB and CRS tables.

Table name	Acr.	Definition
Camera Readout Structure	CRS	CCD regions (spectral lines and field of view), Summing modes
Frame Definition Block	FDB	CRS, Exposure time, Compression parameters, Lookup Table
Frame List	FRM	Time, FDB, Repeat Count, PZT offset (raster scan), Focus, Slitjaw bandpass, Cadence
Observing List	OBS	Time, FRM, Repeat Count, Cadence, PZT offset

- the mechanisms are moved (if required/desired): focus position, PZT offsets, filterwheel.
- the shutter is opened.
- after exposure time, the shutter is closed.
- data are then read from the CCDs by the cameras and sent to the DC/HRI board,
- the compression parameters in the FDB are used by the DC/HRI to compress the images before sending them to spacecraft memory.

The flight software ensures that the shutters are not opened until all mechanisms have stopped moving and settled. In addition, the exposures controlled by all three shutters are synchronized so that they all end at the same time, followed by the camera readout. Depending on a parameter set in the OBS tables, the camera readout can be done in parallel for both cameras, or sequentially (thus reducing low-level noise cause by electronic interference from simultaneous readouts). The typical sequence of events is illustrated in Figure 10.

The CEBs allow for on-board summing, *i.e.*, before digitization in the A/D converter. This is controlled by the CRS tables, which allow any combination of $1\times$, $2\times$, $4\times$, $8\times$ spectral summing and $1\times$, $2\times$, $4\times$ spatial summing. The sequencer software also allows the readout of small regions of interest on any of the CCDs. This speeds up readout of the CCDs and reduces the data volume that is downlinked. The latter is also accomplished by on-board compression. IRIS follows the same compression approach as AIA. It has one data compression/high-rate interface (DC/HRI) card that performs data compression in hardware and then transmits the compressed data to the spacecraft interface and the solid-state memory board (SSMB, which has a capacity of 48 Gbits). The primary algorithm is lossless Rice compression, which typically achieves a factor of two reduction in data volume for UV spectra and images. A second, lossy algorithm is based on a look-up table, which is configurable from the ground. The baseline tables are variations of scaled square-root function, similar to those used for HMI and AIA. This reduces the data volume by another factor of about two, depending on the type of images/spectra to which it is applied.




Figure 10. How IRIS images and spectra are taken and controlled by the Camera Readout Structure (CRS), Frame Definition Block (FDB) and FrameList (FRM).

5.2. Timing

The IRIS sequencer software has been designed to take spectra and images at a very high cadence to accommodate the science goals of IRIS which call for two-second spectral and five-second imaging cadence. The “Take Picture” command in the flight software accomplishes the key operations that enable the appropriate IRIS data to be acquired and is illustrated in Figure 11. Each activity requires a certain minimum amount of time, the timing of which defines the minimum (or fastest) cadence that IRIS can obtain. There are three groups of events that are optimized for fastest cadence operations and they are in part sequential and in part parallel (Figure 11):

1. mechanism moves, waiting for readout of previous image(s) and CCD flush,
2. starts with the longest exposure time and ends with the start of the readout and/or DC/HRI processing of the previous image,
3. camera readout and processing of the images in the DC/HRI. As soon as the readout starts, the mechanism moves and the next “Take Picture” sequence can start.

To optimize the timing of observing sequences, a ground-based “Table Creator” tool has been developed that simulates the behavior of sequencer software and hardware and adjusts the timing in the OBS and FRM tables so the instrument runs as fast as possible.




Figure 11. The sequence of events involved in taking and processing a “picture”.

5.3. Default Tables

To minimize complexity of table management and testing, as well as operations, a large number of sequences have been calculated and are available to science planners. The numbering scheme of the default OBS tables reflects their scientific goal as illustrated in Tables 12, 13, and 14. There are about 50 basic observing modes (Table 12), which vary from dense, coarse, and sparse rasters to sit-and-stare sequences of varying sizes and field-of-view choices. These basic sequences are also available with variations in SJI cadence and wavelength selection, exposure times, wavelength coverage, *etc.* These are listed in Tables 13 and 14. The numbering scheme is such that the same basic observing-mode properties are maintained in the last two digits of the OBS-ID, whereas leading digits indicate various choices for exposure times, SJI cadence, *etc.* The numbering scheme thus acts similarly to a binary mask with the digits listed in Tables 13 and 14 acting as bits switching options on and off. For example, OBS table 20113644 defines a large (126×120 arcsec) raster with coarse (two arcsec) steps, a medium linelist with lossy compression, 30 second SJI images in Si IV and with two by two spatial binning and $2 \times$ spectral binning. Note that the leading two digits of any OBS-ID are the “version number” (not shown in the example), *e.g.* 3820113644 is part of table version 3.8. OBS-IDs starting with “42” are calibration sequences.

The basic raster modes include:

- dense rasters: step size of the raster is equal to the slit width (0.33 arcsec).

- sparse or coarse rasters: step size of each raster location is larger than the slit width. Allows rapid scans of much larger areas, *e.g.*, for flare or CME watch programs.
- sit-and-stare (fixed slit mode): no rastering.
- multi-point dense/sparse rasters: involves rasters with a small number of dwelling locations to study propagation of waves, etc.

The smallest possible stepsize is 0.054 arcsec, which is also the granularity with which solar rotation is tracked with IRIS.

6. Operations

6.1. Overview

The IRIS polar, Sun-synchronous orbit is similar to that of TRACE and *Hinode* and allows for 7–8 months of continuous observations per year, with strong atmospheric absorption occurring during the November–February time frame when the Sun is seen by IRIS at heights below ≈ 200 km (FUV) and ≈ 50 km (NUV) above the Earth’s surface. IRIS data are downlinked to X-band antennas in Svalbard, Norway (≈ 8 – 9 passes day $^{-1}$), Alaska (≈ 3 – 4 passes day $^{-1}$) and Wallops (≈ 1 – 2 passes day $^{-1}$). As a result, IRIS has an average data rate of 0.7 Mbit s $^{-1}$, *i.e.*, about 60 Gbits day $^{-1}$ (compressed). Data (nominally 14 bits pixel $^{-1}$) are compressed onboard using Rice and lossy compression, nominally to about 3–4 bits pixel $^{-1}$. Onboard memory is 48 Gbits, allowing storage of more data-intensive observing sequences that can be downlinked later over the course of several orbits.

The high data rate, short exposure times, and flexible rastering schemes allow rapid scans of small regions on the Sun at very high spatial resolution of order 0.33–0.4 arcsec. The baseline cadence is five seconds for slit-jaw images, and three seconds for 6 spectral windows of strong, bright lines.

IRIS operations are similar to TRACE and *Hinode*, with observing programs uploaded five times per week and data made publicly available within a few days of the observations. Coordination with *Hinode*, SDO and a variety of ground-based observatories is a high priority.

6.2. Timeline

IRIS operations are controlled by a timeline, which contains a list of spacecraft and instrument commands. The timeline is used by a science planner to perform the following operations:

- point the telescope to a position on (or off) the solar disk within 21 arcminutes of disk center.
- switch solar tracking on or off. When solar rotation tracking is on, the pointing of the telescope is continuously adjusted to compensate for the rotation of the Sun so that the same region on the Sun is kept within the field of view.

- correct the pointing of the telescope to compensate for orbital wobble introduced by thermal flexing between the guide telescope and the main IRIS telescope. This is done by using an orbital wobble table (Section 4.5).
- roll the IRIS telescope from its nominal direction (slit parallel with the N–S direction on the Sun) by an angle between -90 and $+90$ degrees from solar north.
- perform an upload of OBS and dependent tables.
- execute (start and stop) a number of previously uploaded OBS tables.
- set the global automatic exposure control (AEC) parameters.
- switch on and off transmission of data through an X-band downlink.
- close or open the ISS loop (to remove jitter).

The timeline is generated using the timeline tool, which is based on the TRACE timeline tool. Timelines are uploaded to IRIS every weekday. The Monday through Thursday timelines cover a planning period of one full day from 0400 UT to 0400 UT. The Friday timeline covers a time period from 0400 UT Saturday to 0400 UT Tuesday.

6.3. Roll Restrictions

The nominal direction of the IRIS slit is parallel with the solar North–South direction (roll angle = 0°). However, IRIS is capable of operating with the spacecraft rolled at any angle between $\pm 90^\circ$ from solar North. This enables observing programs in which the slit is parallel with the solar limb at any position along the limb (from the Equator to the poles).

Operations under rolled conditions have two operational impacts or restrictions:

- reduced downlink data rate, caused by the directional X-band antenna no longer pointing straight down to Earth for non-zero roll angles. Some roll angles will lead to significant reduction of positive link margin and result in shorter downlink passes.
- certain roll angles are forbidden twice per month (first and last quarter of the Moon). The IRIS attitude is controlled by two star trackers at opposite ends of the spacecraft. During two periods around the first and last quarter of the Moon there are certain roll angles for which the Earth is in one star tracker and the Moon is in the other star tracker. When that occurs the star tracker CCDs may become saturated and attitude control is no longer possible, which causes IRIS to automatically transition into a safe “non-science gathering mode.” To avoid this, certain roll angles are excluded twice a month.

6.4. AEC Operations

One of the science goals of IRIS is to study how flares and CMEs are triggered (*e.g.*, by flux emergence). Obtaining spectra and images during the impulsive phase of flares is an important aspect of these types of studies. The occurrence of flares presents a major challenge for a slit-based spectrograph: intensities of

Table 6. IRIS Automatic Exposure Control global parameters

Name	Acr.	Definition
Flare Flag	FF	Controls whether IRIS goes into flare AEC mode or not. If $FF = 1$, SJI images control exposure times of spectra
AEC Flag	AF	Controls whether the AEC software is used to change exposure times ($AF = 1$) or not
AEC Lapse Time	ALT	Exposure times are set to default in the FDB if last SJI was taken more than ALT seconds ago
Event Flag	EF	Keeps track of whether flaring event has occurred or not
Event Lapse Time	ELT	After ELT seconds, the event flag is set back to zero
Event Enable Mask	EEM	Sets which of 16 AEC tables is used to determine whether an event has occurred

spectra vary strongly spatially and temporally, which can lead to overexposure and saturation of some of the spectra. Automatic exposure control (AEC) based on spectra taken as part of a raster scan across the solar disk is not an efficient way of avoiding overexposure because the AEC input data lags behind the rapidly evolving brightness in a flare environment in time and space. The AEC approach for IRIS circumvents this problem by having the AEC for all detectors (spectra and images) be based on intensities measured in the SJI images. By design, IRIS does not switch to a different, specific observing program after flares are detected, so the current observing program will continue to run, but with SJI-based AEC for spectra and images.

The general IRIS AEC philosophy is not focused on trying to capture very weak signals. Instead it is similar to that of SDO/AIA, which is based on making sure over-exposed data do not occur too often. In other words, the AEC is focused on preventing saturation during flares.

Most of the AEC operations are set at the FRM and FDB level. By default the IRIS timeline will set the AEC flag to zero, *i.e.*, disable AEC operations (see Table 6). If the planner sets AEC to one for an observing program, the onboard software will automatically determine for each SJI image set in the Event Enable Mask (EEM) whether a flaring event (Event Flag = 1) has occurred or not. The EF will be set to one by the onboard software when the computed AEC exposure times drop below a specified threshold exposure time. This threshold exposure time is set in the AEC tables. When EF is set to one, AEC of spectra and images exposure times are controlled by the AEC options listed in the FRM list. After EF was set to one, the instrument software sets $EF = 0$ when a flare condition has not been satisfied for the time specified by ELT. Similarly, AEC operations are suspended if no SJI images were taken within ALT seconds.

7. Calibration

7.1. CCD Characterization

The four CCDs are read out by two different CEBs. The gain for the FUV CEB has been set high to six electrons DN⁻¹. All SJI channels and the NUV use a lower gain setting of 18 electrons DN⁻¹. All NUV photons create one electron/hole pair on the detector, *i.e.*, one electron photon⁻¹. This means that for NUV spectra and NUV SJI channels 2796 and 2832 there are about 18 photons DN⁻¹. For FUV photons about 1.5 electrons photon⁻¹ are created on average. This means that for FUV spectra there are about four photons DN⁻¹, while for FUV SJI channels 1330 and 1400 there are about 12 photons DN⁻¹.

The dark frame levels for IRIS have two components: a pedestal level [P] set electronically, and the dark current. Each CCD read port exhibits slightly different behavior. Extensive tests pre- and post-launch have led to the following model for the total dark level [D] in read port j :

$$D_j = P_j[T_{\text{CEB}j}(t - \delta t_j)] + e^{(a_j + b_j T_{\text{CCD}j})} n_x n_y t_{\text{int}} + \Delta D_j(x, n_x, n_y, t_{\text{int}}) \quad (1)$$

Here, P_j is the pedestal level in read port j , a function of CEB temperature [$T_{\text{CEB}j}$] for that port, time lagged by δt . The second term models the average dark-current rate, which is the product of an exponential dependence on the CCD temperature [$T_{\text{CCD}j}$], the amount of on-chip summing [$n_x n_y$] and the time between CCD reads (*i.e.*, the dark-current integration time) [t_{int}]. A final term [ΔD] models the change in shape of the dark in the wavelength (x) direction as t_{int} and summing are increased, from flat for $t_{\text{int}} \approx 0$ seconds and 1×1 summing, to roughly bilinear in x , sloping gradually and then more rapidly away from the read-out point, with an end-to-end amplitude of $\Delta D \approx 10$ DN for $n_x n_y = 32$ and FUV ports.

In practice, the D_j function is computed for each port, and added to the appropriate portion of an averaged, cleaned “basal” dark. This “basal” dark was constructed from 19 $t_{\text{int}} \approx 0$ seconds images, which had particle hits and (some) hot pixels removed; the average value in each read-port zone was then set to zero. Analysis of 152 full-frame 1×1 darks indicates average errors at $t_{\text{int}} = 30$ seconds of $< 0.12 \pm 0.12$ DN in the zero point (worst case) for the FUV ports, and $< 0.08 \pm 0.03$ DN for the NUV/SJI ports. Scatter in the residual RMS(dark - model dark) is < 3.1 DN (FUV ports) and < 1.2 DN (NUV/SJI), and essentially reflects the camera readout noise. Results deteriorate somewhat as summing increases: for $n_x n_y = 8$, average offsets are $< 1.6 \pm 2.5$ DN for FUV, and $< 0.16 \pm 0.82$ DN (NUV/SJI), with RMS residuals of < 3.3 DN (FUV) and < 1.2 DN (NUV/SJI). These calibrations will be revisited and refined periodically as more data are taken and more operational temperatures are sampled over the course of the spacecraft’s thermal “year”.

On orbit, it was discovered that when both CEBs are read out simultaneously, a read-interference noise pattern is superimposed on the resulting data. While it does not always occupy the entire read-port zone spatially, the pattern, where

it is present, is approximately constant in the spatial direction for a given readout and read port. The portions of the CCD showing the pattern show mirror symmetry across the two read-port zones of a given chip, and both CCDs show identical coverage. The pattern's wavelength structure is complex and varies in shape and period from readout to readout, with a typical period of about 340 pixels (1×1 summing). The period is constant for all ports of a given readout, though its shape varies from port to port. One port typically has the highest amplitude pattern (in FUV SG $\approx \pm 3$ DN), with other ports showing decreasing amplitudes by successive factors of \approx two, and simpler wavelength structure. This pattern is barely visible in the high contrast, high S/N NUV spectra and all SJI images. It is more apparent in FUV spectra which have higher gain and typically have lower counts. To mitigate this pattern noise, IRIS planners can choose to use non-simultaneous reads which eliminates the pattern completely at the cost of lower cadence. The IRIS team is also working on software to model and remove the readout pattern.

7.2. Flat Field

Flat fielding of images acquired with IRIS CCDs is required to remove the effects of:

- vignetting,
- the semi-regular laser anneal pattern visible at the 5–10 % level in CCD images,
- potential dose accumulation burn-in,
- dust and artifacts on the slit and detector,
- the intensity pattern due to irregularities in the slit width.

Flat fields for IRIS are constructed from solar observations for the NUV and FUV spectrographs and the slit-jaw imagers with the same intent, to extract structure due to the instrument from structure due to the Sun, although two different approaches are used.

7.2.1. Strategy for the Spectrograph Flats

The spectrograph flat fields are produced by extracting the spectral profile from spectrograph images which have been sufficiently averaged. The emission lines of the FUV and the Mg II line cores show high-contrast features and non-uniform line profiles, even in the quiet Sun. A spectrum which is spatially smooth and spectrally uniform is obtained by taking a coarse raster of the disk-center quiet Sun, during which the telescope is defocused and slewed during the exposures to provide additional smoothing, then the images in the sequence are averaged together. At least 200 images are required to build a good intermediate flat field.

To extract the spectral profile the intermediate flat field is up-sampled by padding the Fourier transform of the image. This image is warped using the geometry correction determined for each spectral window to make the spectral and fiducial lines straight with respect to the grid of pixels. Averages are taken along the spatial and spectral dimension. These averages are reversed through

the geometry correction, resampled back down to the original image size, and divided from the original spectrum to produce the final flat field. The spatial flat field (average along the spectral dimension) is reserved so that it can be shifted and applied to properly compensate for slight thermal shifts of the spectrum on the detector.

Exposure times of 15 seconds are used for each individual image for both the NUV and FUV flat-field observations. For the FUV spectra, this ensures that the bright lines have enough signal, however the faint lines and continuum do not rise above the level of the read noise.

Analysis of the FUV spectra has revealed a faint, smooth stray-light background that needs to be removed before flat-fielding. This background does not contain the CCD annealing pattern that is present at blue and UV wavelengths, indicating that it is a visible-light leak, whose photons penetrate more deeply into the CCD. A gain of 5.6 ± 0.2 photons DN^{-1} has been measured for the stray-light background in long exposures taken off the solar limb, which is comparable with the gain measured with the blue LEDs and consistent with the statistics expected for visible photons. Analysis of the off-limb data from the other channels of IRIS does not indicate a similar stray-light component. The FUV background is of order 0.5 DNs^{-1} at most and has been found to drop with distance from Sun center to about 0.15 DNs^{-1} . This drop-off varies with position angle of the slit relative to the Sun, which suggests that the background does not enter through the slit. It is more likely due to a low-level light leak in the labyrinth structure of the slit prism mount. The intensity of the background also depends on the position of the SJI filter wheel. It is slightly higher with an NUV filter in place, probably because these filters reflect some visible light back to the slit prism area. The background has been characterized and is being removed before the FUV spectrograph flat fields are applied in our Level 1.5 calibration.

7.2.2. Strategy for the Slit-Jaw Imagers

Flat fields for the slit-jaw imagers are constructed using the technique presented by Chae (2004), which makes use of relatively shifted solar images to extract the stationary flat-field pattern of the instrument from the moving solar scene. For this implementation we have selected a Reuleaux triangle dither pattern of 20 arcsec containing 15 pointings. This way the dither can be accomplished on the short timescale of the solar chromosphere. The results of multiple pointings are combined to produce the final flat field. Regions of bright, but quiet, plage provide sufficient counts for the FUV. For the filters with high contrast (FUV and NUV core), images are taken with the telescope defocused so that a more uniform coverage of the CCD is possible, while images for the lower-contrast NUV wing and glass filters are taken in-focus to ensure the granulation pattern of the quiet Sun can be accurately extracted.

The Chae method significantly decreases the amount of time spent obtaining flat-field images. Each wavelength of the SJI uses different optics, causing a change in the vignette pattern. In addition the CCD has a slightly different gain response at each wavelength, necessitating the use of separate flat fields for each filter. Using the Chae method, only 15×3 flats are necessary for each

Table 7. Best focus position (in steps) for each IRIS science channel

Channel	Focus position
SJI 1330 Å	-114.4
SJI 1400 Å	-114.5
SJI 2796 Å	-113.6
SJI 2832 Å	-111.8
NUV SG	-116.3
FUV SG	≈ -115

filter, whereas an averaging method might take hundreds of images to sufficiently smooth over the solar structure.

The fiducial marks in the spectra and the slit in the SJI images are important for calibration and are therefore seamlessly removed from the flat-field by replacing the pixels around each with the pre-launch flat-field images obtained using a mercury lamp filtered to 2537 Å.

Flat-field calibration data will be acquired regularly to track changes (*e.g.*, during eclipse season, or because of burn-in) with appropriate changes made to the calibrated Level 2 data.

7.3. Optical Performance

7.3.1. Focus

Focus is adjustable by moving the telescope secondary mirror in $2.28\ \mu\text{m}$ increments, over a range from -210 to $+350$ steps (a full range of $1277\ \mu\text{m}$). The best position of telescope focus was determined by analyzing multiple sequences of solar images at different focus positions. The results are summarized in Table 7. Uncertainties are less than one step, except for the FUV spectrograph. The profusion of transition-region explosive events makes the quantitative estimate of focus difficult for the FUV, but visually it is near -115 . Depth of focus is approximately ± 5 steps. IRIS runs routinely at the designated best instrument focus of -115 . During eclipse season, the focus changes slightly to values around -121 .

7.3.2. Point Spread Function and Spatial Resolution

The spatial resolution of IRIS is very good in both the spectrograph and SJI. Figure 12 shows point-spread functions and modulation transfer functions for the FUV 1330 and NUV 2832 channels of the IRIS SJI. These were derived from on-orbit focus tests using a phase-diversity code described by Löfdahl and Scharmer (1994). The code was run on a small region centered in the lower left portion of each detector (coordinates 150, 250). The NUV SJI is operating near the diffraction limit, with an MTF dip at $\approx 1\ \text{cycles arcsec}^{-1}$ due to the telescope




Figure 12. MTF of the IRIS FUV (left) and NUV (right) SJI, derived from phase-diversity analysis of a series of exposures adjusting through focus at 1330 Å. The PSF, inset, has been square-root scaled to bring out faint features.




Figure 13. Histogram of the FWHM of the O I 1351.6 Å and Mn I 2801.85 Å lines in a quiet-Sun region indicates that the effective spectral resolution is limited by the Nyquist criterion. The dashed lines show the averages of the distributions.

central obscuration. The MTF falls to 10% at 2.6 cycles arcsec⁻¹, which exceeds the resolution requirement for the instrument. The NUV images have a 2-pixel wide core containing $\approx 42\%$ of the light.

The figures show that FUV images are nearly pixel-limited, with the MTF above 0.25 all the way to the Nyquist frequency: the PSF core is a single bright pixel containing 34% of the light and the 3×3 brightest pixels have 70%.

7.3.3. Spectral Resolution

Preliminary measurements of the spectral resolution of IRIS show it to be excellent, and essentially limited by the Nyquist criterion. We analyzed quiet-Sun spectra taken on 20 Aug 2013 and used single Gaussian fits to the O I 1355.6 Å and Mn I 2801.85 Å lines to determine the FWHM of these lines for 1000 positions along the slit. We find that many profiles of these lines are very narrow with average FWHM of 25.85 mÅ in the FUV and 50.54 mÅ in the NUV, *i.e.*, below and around the Nyquist criterion. This is illustrated in Figure 13, which shows histograms of the width measured in these neutral lines.

7.3.4. Plate Scale

The spatial plate scales for the SJI shown in Table 3 were determined using a meridional scan, and then assembling all the images into a North–South mosaic across the full disk. In that mosaic, the solar diameter in pixels is compared with the known solar diameter, corrected for the distance to the Sun at the time of the observations. The SG plate scales (Table 2) were determined by matching the distance between the two fiducial marks on the SJI and SG data and applying the resampling factor to the previously determined SJI plate scales. Note that at data processing Level 1.5 (and higher) all data are placed onto a common platescale of $0.16635 \text{ arcsec pixel}^{-1}$.

The spectral plate scales (Table 2) are average values across the detector. These prelaunch values agree very well with the averages from post-launch calibration. Note that the actual spectral plate scale is wavelength dependent. This non-linearity in the plate scale is corrected in Level 1.5 data (and higher) where the data are interpolated onto the average plate-scale grid.

7.4. Compression

Compression of IRIS data for efficient transmission to the ground is accomplished through two methods: lossless Rice compression (Rice and Plaunt, 1971) and optional lossy compression using lookup tables (LUTs).

Rice compression takes advantage of the relative smoothness of data, by taking a running difference and encoding values in two parts. The rapidly changing, least significant bits are represented directly and the slowly changing most significant bits are compressed by the running difference. Data values in an image can be losslessly compressed by about a factor of two using this method. The Rice K value selects the number of least-significant-bits to be directly represented. For IRIS, the K-value for a given observation is set in the FDB during the generation of observing tables. The K-value for most efficient compression depends on the structure in the image and the exposure time. The best K-values have been determined for a variety of images and spectra.

Additional compression of the IRIS data can be achieved by applying a lossy algorithm using a lookup table (LUT). For IRIS we make use of the LUTs generated for AIA and HMI data from the SDO mission. These compression tables consist of a linear ramp at low values and transitions to a square-root function at approximately the level of the read noise. This way low counts are not compressed, but higher counts are compressed more and more toward the top of the table. Compression with a square-root function introduces lookup error in a constant proportion to the photon-counting noise, resulting in a constant signal-to-noise ratio for each table. The calculated effective ratios of the LUT error to photon+read noise, based on the noise level expected from a given detector, are tabulated for all the LUTs available for IRIS in Table 8. The values shown are calculated for the CCD pedestal levels that were adjusted after launch.

7.5. Pointing Stability

Thermal flexing between the guide telescope and the main IRIS telescope introduces a wobble in the observations on timescales of an orbit (see Section 4.5). We

Table 8. Effective S/N Ratios for AIA and HMI LUTs

ID	Name	FUV ratio (low gain)	NUV ratio
0	No Compression	0.0	0.0
1	Inverse	0.0	0.0
2	hmi c3 plin	0.28	0.32
3	aia csnr 025	0.91	1.0
4	aia csnr 050	1.8	2.1
5	aia csnr 100	3.7	4.2
6	hmi c3 5 plin	0.40	0.46
7	hmi c4 plin	0.57	0.65
8	hmi c4 5 plin	0.81	0.92
9	aia csnr 200	7.6	8.6

measured this wobble on-orbit by taking SJI 2832 channel data at the North Pole and East Limb, at 20 seconds cadence, covering more than an orbit, and then running a cross-correlation algorithm on the data. To explore the effect of roll angle on the wobble, we have carried out this analysis for five roll angle values: 0° , $+90^\circ$, -90° , $+45^\circ$, -45° . The results of this analysis show that the wobble is, peak to peak within an orbit, of the order of three arcsec in the x -direction, and one arcsec in the y -direction (see Figure 14a, showing the wobble curves for roll 0° , $+90^\circ$, and -90°). For different roll angles we find that the wobble is of the same order of magnitude as for 0° roll angle, although with a phase shift. In particular we find that for roll angle α , the wobble can be approximated by the wobble for 0° roll angle, shifted in phase by $\alpha/360^\circ$. This is illustrated in the right panel of Fig 14, where we show the wobble curves of $+90$ and -90 shifted in phase by $+0.25$ and -0.25 respectively, plotted over the wobble curves for 0° roll angle.

The effect of the wobble is corrected in regular IRIS operations with orbital wobble tables (OWT), used to apply an adjustment to the pointing which is compensating for the orbital wobble. We estimate that the use of the OWTs corrects most of the wobble, with a possible residual two IRIS pixel effect over the course of a full orbit (≈ 97 minutes). This residual is larger during eclipse season. For the angles used more frequently (0° , $\pm 90^\circ$, $\pm 45^\circ$) we use wobble tables derived by corresponding calibration data as described above. However, deriving OWT for a larger set of roll angles is unreasonably time-consuming (each angle requires calibration data for two orbits, *i.e.*, 195 minutes, for each of the two pointings), especially considering that the wobble calibrations will need to be run regularly, several times a year. Therefore, for all other roll angles, we apply the 0° roll-wobble curves, shifted in phase by $\alpha/360^\circ$ as described above.

The availability of a continuous full-disk archive of UV and EUV (SDO/AIA) and continuum (SDO/HMI) images at ≈ 0.5 arcsec spatial resolution and ≈ 24 seconds temporal resolution allows for the registration of every IRIS slit-jaw image by means of cross-correlation of each image with a field-of-view-matched, extracted sub-field from the nearest in time SDO image of the appropriate wave-band with comparable response. This technique will be used to cross-correlate




Figure 14. Orbital wobble correction. Left panel: IRIS orbital wobble in units of IRIS pixels, for the x - (solid lines) and y (dashed lines)-directions, and three roll angle values: 0 (black), +90 (red) and -90 (blue). Right panel: Same curves as in the left panel, but with a phase shift of the wobble curves for +90 and -90, of +0.25 and -0.25 respectively. The shifted curves are very similar to the wobble curves for 0° roll angle. The orbital phase is zero at the time when IRIS passes through its ascending node.

IRIS 1400 slit-jaw images with AIA 1700 image sub-fields, and IRIS 2832 slit-jaw images with HMI continuum image sub-fields, to extract residual translation offsets for the IRIS slit-jaw images, which in turn can be used to update the relevant World Coordinate System (WCS) keywords in the file headers of both the slit jaw images and spectra, and thus improve the absolute accuracy of the pointing information.

7.6. Wavelength Calibration

The wavelength calibration of IRIS aims to remove instrumental effects from the spectra so that these can be used to diagnose solar conditions. The calibration involves two aspects, geometric correction and relative calibration to photospheric spectral lines, which are described in the following.

7.6.1. Geometric Correction

As with many spectrographs, IRIS suffers from slight spectral distortion and misalignment, mainly rotation, spectral curvature, and the non-linearity of wavelength. For analysis purposes it is highly desirable to have the spectral and spatial coordinates rectilinear with the grid of pixels and to have a constant dispersion per pixel.

The geometry of IRIS is determined from heavily averaged, high signal-to-noise spectra, such as those created at the intermediate stage during the spectrograph flat fields. From these average spectra the centers of spectral lines and fiducial marks are determined by Gaussian fitting. The “desired” positions of the spectral lines and fiducials are calculated using the average line position

at the center of the CCD. The transformation to rectilinear coordinates is determined by fitting a two dimensional polynomial to the measured and “desired” line centers.

Once the geometric transformation is successfully determined, the solution of the wavelength can be calculated. The center positions of neutral and low-ionization lines are used to calculate the relationship between wavelength and pixels. This solution is used to modify the geometric result. An interactive tool (*iris_spec_cal.pro*) has been implemented in SolarSoft to make this procedure relatively simple.

The geometry correction is implemented in *iris_prep.pro* (SolarSoft) using cubic interpolation, which causes some smoothing of the resulting spectrum. The spatial and spectral coordinate for every pixel in the untransformed data is also available.

7.6.2. Relative Calibration

In addition to the geometric corrections, there are also slight thermal drifts and associated wavelength shifts. The low-Earth, Sun-synchronous orbit of IRIS creates a thermal environment in which periodic temperature variations occur within the instrument on timescales of one orbit (as well as over a year). To compensate for some of these variations heaters are used to control some of the temperatures within the instrument. The end result is a relatively stable thermal environment that nevertheless shows some variations over an orbit.

Preflight thermal testing indicates that the high-order aspects of the spectral geometry do not change, but the spectral lines shift with thermal variation. These small drifts of the spectral lines on the detector are of order two spectral pixels, *i.e.*, $\approx 6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. During calibration these drifts were determined by analyzing the wavelength shifts of neutral (and Fe II) lines, formed in the photosphere or chromosphere, in the FUV 1, FUV 2, and NUV detectors. These lines, when averaged in time and space, show very little variation, compared to the large velocities in the upper chromosphere and transition region, and can thus be used to determine whether wavelength shifts for the different detectors are well correlated with one another and/or with instrument temperatures.

We did not find reliable correlations between the measured temperatures and the observed wavelength shifts. Therefore, it is not possible to derive a simple relationship between changes of temperatures and line positions that can be applied to all observations. However, we found that the wavelength shifts over the course of an orbit are well correlated between FUV1, FUV2, and NUV after removal of the orbital velocity of IRIS with respect to the Sun. The wavelength shifts with time of the O I 1355.6 Å line in FUV 1, S I 1396.11 Å in FUV 2, and Ni I 2799.47 Å line in NUV are well correlated, as shown in Figure 15. The one- σ residual of the difference between the temporal variations of NUV with those in FUV is about 0.5 km s^{-1} . This is much smaller than the typical TR velocity [$\approx 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$]. These correlations are found to hold for different roll angles of the spacecraft [± 90 degrees].

Using these results, the IRIS wavelength-calibration approach is to determine, for every NUV spectrum taken, the wavelength shifts of the Ni I 2799.474 Å line.




Figure 15. Wavelength calibration approach. Top panel: correlation between wavelength shifts of neutral lines in FUV 1 (O I 1355.6 Å), FUV 2 (S I 1396.1 Å) and NUV (Ni I 2799.5 Å) as a function of time during one orbit. Bottom panels: differences of wavelength shifts between FUV 1 and NUV (left panel) and between FUV 2 and NUV (right panel).

This line has significantly higher signal-to-noise (S/N) than the FUV neutral lines. We average these shifts along the slit to determine the average thermal drift of the line and then use this to establish a wavelength calibration of not only the NUV passband, but also the FUV 1 and FUV 2 (using the correlations discussed above).

Because the intrinsic average velocity exhibited by these photospheric neutral lines is less than 0.5 km s^{-1} (based on MHD simulations), our calibration leads to an absolute calibration of the NUV passband with a precision of $\approx 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

7.7. Throughput

7.7.1. FUV Passbands

IRIS's off-limb capability and high sensitivity allow us to use UV-bright stars as calibration sources. We have identified about a dozen stars that should be detectable by IRIS. In August 2013, we demonstrated our stellar calibration capability with early observations of HD 86360 and HD 91316. Stellar calibration allows us to convert IRIS fluxes into physical units and to measure, on-orbit, the effective area of the SJIs and spectrograph. By observing the same stars throughout the mission we will have an excellent measure of the change in throughput due to contamination and degradation.

Table 9. Effective area for each IRIS science channel

Channel	Effective Area [cm ²] Prelaunch	Effective Area [cm ²] Flight	Radiometric conversion [(erg s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹ cm ⁻² Å ⁻¹) /(DN s ⁻¹)]
SJI 1330 Å	0.5	0.41	N/A
SJI 1400 Å	0.6	0.81	N/A
SJI 2796 Å	0.005	N/A	N/A
SJI 2832 Å	0.004	0.0043	N/A
NUV SG	0.2	N/A	N/A
FUV 1 SG	1.6	1.2	2960
FUV 2 SG	2.2	2.2	1600

The August 2013 campaign included specifically designed observing programs for two UV-bright stars: HD 86360 and HD 91316. We have successfully observed both stars in the SJI 1400 and 1330 filters. By changing pointings and slit locations with time, the FUV spectrum of HD 91316 was successfully recorded at about 20 locations of the slit.

We have evaluated the effective areas for both SJI and SG, and performed radiometric calibration for the FUV spectra of IRIS. Spectra of both stars were observed by the *International Ultraviolet Explorer* (IUE) about 30 years ago. The IUE spectral radiances are given with uncertainties of 10–15% (one σ). Implicit in cross calibration with stars is the assumption that the irradiances of the stars are nearly constant in time, which is the case for both of these stars.

We have evaluated the effective areas of the SJI 1400 and 1330 band passes using data for both stars. The average effective area is about 35% larger than the pre-flight value for the SJI 1400 filter and 12% smaller than the pre-flight value for the SJI 1330 filter.

Using data from the star HD 91316, we have evaluated the effective areas of the two FUV wavelength bands. The average effective area is about 1.2 cm² for FUV 1 and 2.2 cm² for FUV 2. Both are within the error margin of the pre-flight estimates.

We have performed radiometric calibration of the FUV spectra of IRIS based on the HD 91316 observation. By comparing the calibrated IUE flux with the

uncalibrated IRIS spectrum of the star, we have derived the radiometric calibration factor for IRIS. This factor is about 2960 in the FUV 1 band and 1600 in the FUV 2 band. Using this factor, we can convert the observed countrate (DN s^{-1}) into radiance of the spectrum ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{\AA}^{-1}$). These values are summarized in Table 9.

7.7.2. NUV Passbands

The stars we observed are not bright enough in the NUV. For the calibration of the NUV channels, we compared IRIS quiet-Sun disk-center observations on 19 Jul 2013 with full-Sun spectra of 15 Jul 2013 taken with the *Solar Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment* (SOLSTICE) onboard the *Solar Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment* (SORC). We scaled the IRIS data to full disk, and applied a limb darkening correction $F_{\text{tot}}/I_{\text{ctr}} = 0.588$ at 2800\AA (Allen, 1964). The SOLSTICE spectrum was then folded with the IRIS pre-launch effective area. A comparison of the spectra shows a good match in the wings of the Mg II h and k lines, with discrepancies near the line core. The latter is to be expected since the line cores are affected by solar activity: the SOLSTICE spectrum includes all active regions on the disk, while IRIS only observed at the quiet Sun near disk center. For the wing of the lines, we can nevertheless determine how well our pre-flight effective area values for the NUV 2832 SJI channel (in the wings of Mg II h/k) compare with the flight values. We found that the flight values are 8% higher than the pre-flight values, *i.e.*, within the uncertainty of the calibration method.

The NUV 2796 SJI channel and the NUV spectrograph pre-flight values are given in Table 9.

7.7.3. Temporal Evolution

To determine the long-term evolution of the sensitivity of the various IRIS passbands, we will continue to perform stellar calibrations as the targets appear in the IRIS field of view. This will help calibrate any long-term trends of the sensitivity of the FUV channels. In addition, IRIS obtains observations of a quiet region near disk center on a daily basis in order to monitor throughput of both FUV and NUV channels.

8. Data Processing

8.1. Level 0 to 1.5

IRIS data processing leverages the heritage infrastructure developed for SDO and *Hinode*. Raw science data flows from the Mission Operation Center (MOC) at NASA Ames to the Joint Science Operations Center (JSOC) at Stanford University and LMSAL. The IRIS data system uses the same infrastructure as that for SDO/AIA and HMI. At the JSOC, the science telemetry is archived, with a copy exported to the backup site at LMSAL. After receipt from the

Table 10. IRIS Data Levels

Level	Description
0	depacketized, raw images with housekeeping and overscans
1	reorient images to common axes: north “up” (0° roll), increasing λ/x to right
1.5	dark current and offsets removed flag bad pixels and spikes pixels flat field correction geometric and wavelength calibration
1.6	physical units (exposure and photon conversion)
2	recast as rasters and SJI timeseries, standard science product
3	recast as 4D cubes for NUV/FUV and 3D cubes for SJI for CRISPEX
HCR	Description of observing sequences, ingested by HCR at LMSAL

MOC, the telemetry is converted into Level 0 image files (see Table 10). To generate Level 1 data, mirroring of various axes is removed and various headers are added containing information about temperatures, roll, and pointing. It is automatically produced in a pipeline at the JSOC. All these data levels are stored within the JSOC data system.

Level 1.5 is produced at LMSAL and is the lowest level of scientifically-useful data. Dark current and systematic (pedestal) offsets are removed, and flat-fielding corrections for telescope and CCD properties, as well as geometric and wavelength corrections, are applied. All images are mapped to a common spatial plate scale. Spectral images are also remapped to align with an equal-sized array where wavelength and spatial coordinates align with the grid. An array mapping the wavelength axis to physical wavelength is created in this process. As with AIA, equivalent procedures to those used internally to transform Level 1 to Level 1.5 are distributed via SolarSoft as `iris_prep.pro`.

Level 1 and 1.5 data products are still organized per CCD frame, *i.e.*, every exposure taken by IRIS is stored as a FITS file. The format of these files is compressed FITS files, similar to AIA and HMI: full frames are stored even when only some regions of interest (ROI) were obtained during a particular exposure. In this case, most of the FITS array is set to a “Not a Number” (NaN), with only the ROI displaying observed data numbers.

8.2. Level 2 and 3

Level 2 is generated at LMSAL using Level 1.5 data. Level 3 data can be produced by the user using `iris_make_fits_level3.pro` (SolarSoft). Level 2/3 data products group individual “CCD frames” (Level 1.5) into logical units. There are two different types of Level 2 data products: spectral rasters and slit-jaw image timeseries. These are the data products that will be most widely used. As calibration procedures improve, these may be regenerated as appropriate.

8.2.1. Level 2 Spectral Rasters

Spectral rasters are defined as a series of spectral frames that are:

- within one execution of an OBS list
- a contiguous set with identical $\text{sgn}(\delta H)$, in which δH is the value of the perpendicular displacement of the active secondary (controlled by PZT ABC at the FRM and OBS list level)
- if $\delta H = 0$ (*i.e.*, sit-and-stare), then all spectra go into one (timeseries) file

All spectral regions of interest of one raster step are stacked into one “raster” file, including NUV and FUV (*i.e.*, unlike Level 1 these different spectra are now stored into one file). The spectral windows are stacked as extensions (as part of the new FITS 3 standard). Each spectral region within a CRS is stored into a separate extension. Each extension has auxiliary header info that describes time, position, etc.

8.2.2. Level 2 Slitjaw Images

All slitjaw (SJI) images of one execution of an OBS list and for one filterwheel (FW) position and readout region (CRS) are stored as one separate file. These SJI files contain a time series. There are separate SJI files for each filterwheel choice and for each different CRS region.

8.2.3. Level 3

Level 3 data groups the Level 2 data into products that allow easy quicklook and analysis using the IRIS-specific `crispex.pro` quicklook tool (Visser and Rouppe van der Voort, 2012). In practice this means that timeseries of spectral rasters are re-ordered into 4D data cubes (FITS-files).

CRISPEX was developed to analyze data taken with the CRISP Fabry-Perot interferometer (Scharmer *et al.*, 2008). This instrument takes two-dimensional images of the Sun within a narrow bandpass centered at a specific wavelength. CRISP is usually used to perform rapid wavelength scans through a chromospheric line. To facilitate speedy access to the large 4D (x, y, λ, t) datasets CRISPEX does not read the data into memory, but reads it from disk as it is accessed. To optimize the speed at which the data is displayed, it is stored in two separate formats: x, y, λ, t and λ, t, x, y . This allows for rapid display of both images, λ -intensity, λ - t and λ - x plots, allowing easy quicklook access.

To fully exploit CRISPEX, IRIS Level 2 data is rendered into CRISPEX-ready files. The latter are the IRIS Level 3 data products.

In particular, a Level 3 IRIS CRISPEX file will be based on a specific execution of an OBS list and contain either of these:

- one IRIS (synthetic) spectral window stored in $xy\lambda t$ or λtxy format
- all spectral windows stored in $xy\lambda t$ or λtxy format

Level 3 data is not distributed publicly because the data volume is very large, but can be produced from Level 2 data using SolarSoft (`iris.make_fits.level3.pro`).

8.3. Other Products

Browse products are generated from Level 2 and 3 images. Heliophysics Coverage Registry (HCR) products are derived from the planned operations and the resulting data, and are recorded in the Heliophysics Coverage Registry, which is part of the Heliophysics Event Knowledgebase (Hurlburt *et al.*, 2012).

8.4. Data Availability

Data from IRIS are made available to the community through several paths. Level 2 data products are the standard science products. These are available through the IRIS website (iris.lmsal.com) in a manner similar to *Hinode*, TRACE and the AIA cutout web services. Level 2 data are also available at the *Hinode* archive at the University of Oslo. Higher-level descriptions of the data products are maintained within the Heliophysics Coverage Registry and solar events associated with these data products are captured within the Heliophysics Event Registry. IRIS data are available via the Virtual Solar Observatory (Hill *et al.*, 2009) through a variety of avenues.

Level 1 data are available directly from the JSOC using the same procedures as developed for SDO. These Level 1 data are a published series that is either available through the JSOC subscription mechanisms or through the lookdata web interface.

9. Numerical Modeling

9.1. Overview

The IRIS investigation includes an extensive radiative-MHD numerical simulation component to enable full forward-modeling of the domain from the top of the convective envelope to the low corona. Such a comprehensive approach to numerical modeling is critical for a full understanding of the interface region and the diagnostics that cover this region. Advances in algorithms, supercomputing capability, and parallelization techniques now allow such computations at a spatial and temporal resolution that is adequate for comparisons with IRIS observables, including various physical mechanisms that have a critical role in the thermodynamic evolution of the low solar atmosphere.

The rapid advances in computational infrastructure and algorithms have revolutionized the state-of-the-art of numerical simulations and the role these play in interpreting observations. These advances are dramatically reducing the idealizations and simplifications that are made in order to enable the computations. As part of the pre-launch IRIS science investigation, the co-I team has worked towards improving the realism of various numerical models through intensive collaboration and annual workshops.

These efforts have been focused on:

- increased spatial resolution,

- wide range of magnetic-field configuration, including small-scale flux emergence,
- increased volume of numerical domain,
- inclusion of non-equilibrium ionization of hydrogen and helium,
- inclusion of effects of ion–neutral interactions in the partially ionized chromosphere,
- inclusion of kinetic effects through a hybrid PIC/MHD approach,
- line formation and interpretation of C II 1335 Å and Mg II h/k 2796/2803 Å,
- comparison of physical and diagnostic results from various numerical models.

Despite the advances in numerical modeling, it is clear that simplifying assumptions are still required to enable the calculations. The IRIS modeling philosophy is that comparisons between IRIS (and other) observations and synthetic diagnostics from numerical models are used to determine which physical mechanisms reduce any discrepancies between observations and models, and which assumptions are too idealized and should be relaxed. For example, preliminary studies of the formation of the Mg II h and k lines indicate that they provide a sensitive diagnostic for upper chromospheric heating and turbulence, and that current models lack the right amount of heating and turbulence just below the transition region, likely because time-dependent hydrogen ionization and ambipolar diffusion have not yet been fully taken into account, and/or because the simulations lack spatial resolution. This combined approach, in which observations and models are continuously compared, guiding the next development steps for the models, is a major part of the IRIS science investigation.

The numerical modeling team of the IRIS science investigation has played a crucial role throughout the development of the IRIS instrument requirements, for example by assisting with assessing the impact of telescope and instrument design choices in terms of full width half maximum of the Solc filter, or studying the requirements for spectral resolution in the NUV SG passband. IRIS modeling has helped not only with determining requirements but also assisted in design choices later in the development program. The IRIS models have also been highly valuable in assisting with prelaunch optimization of observing sequences, through the use of the so-called IRIS simulator which allows a user to observe the models with the same observing tables that IRIS uses. This code is available in the IRIS SolarSoft tree.

9.2. Publicly Available Models and Papers

To assist the community with the interpretation of IRIS data, several numerical models computed with the *Bifrost* code from the University of Oslo are for the first time being publicly released (see sdc.uio.no/search/simulations). The numerical models in question have also been used to investigate the line formation of Mg II h and k (Leenaarts *et al.*, 2013a), the relationship between spectral features in the Mg II h/k lines and physical variables in the chromosphere (Leenaarts *et al.*, 2013b), how IRIS observations of Mg II h/k can be used to diagnose chromospheric conditions (Pereira *et al.*, 2013), the line formation of the C II 1335 Å lines, and the effects of non-equilibrium ionization on the IRIS FUV diagnostics (Olluri, Gudiksen, and Hansteen, 2013).

Table 11. IRIS Documentation and URLs

Description	URL
Main website	iris.lmsal.com
Operations	iris.lmsal.com/operations.html
Data search	iris.lmsal.com/search/ sdc.uio.no/search/form
Recent observations	www.lmsal.com/hek/hcr?cmd=view-recent-events&instrument=iris
IRIS Today	iris.lmsal.com/iristoday
Documentation	iris.lmsal.com/documents.html

10. Conclusion

The IRIS door was opened and the first images and spectra were acquired on 17 July 2013. Since then, IRIS has successfully finished its initial 60 day observing plan, which was focused on obtaining a variety of datasets (sit-and-stare, dense rasters, coarse rasters) for a range of different targets (quiet Sun, active regions, coronal holes, prominences). The IRIS slit-jaw images and spectra reveal a variety of highly dynamic and finely scaled structures, often involving high velocities. Both the FUV and NUV channels of IRIS perform very well, with C II, Si IV, Mg II k, and Mg II h spectra providing a novel window on the intimate coupling between the photosphere, chromosphere, and transition region. Hotter active regions and/or flares also show Fe XII and Fe XXI spectra, extending IRIS’s temperature coverage well into the hot corona. The spatial, spectral and temporal resolution are excellent, reaching 0.33–0.4 arcsec, $< 1 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and down to 1.5 seconds, respectively. The various channels are well co-aligned and the image stabilization system is excellent. Typical observing sequences take spectra and images at cadences between 3 and 30s. Calibration work to monitor long-term behavior continues, but calibrated datasets are now available to the public without restriction within a few days of observations. Extensive documentation on operations, calibration, data analysis, and numerical simulations is available in the form of so-called IRIS Technical Notes (ITNs) on the iris.lmsal.com website, as outlined in Table 11.

Appendix

A. Observing Tables

The default observing tables are given in Tables 12, 13, 14.

Acknowledgements The effort required to build a mission such as IRIS requires a large, skillful, and dedicated team. We wish to acknowledge many individuals who contributed to

the success of IRIS: Geoff Andrews, Nate Caditan, Brock Carpenter, Jay Dusenbury, Cliff Evans, Chuck Fischer, Scott Green, George Dankiewicz, Robert Honeycutt, James Irwin, Harjeet Janda, Dwana Kacensky, Pete Kacensky, Mike Marticorena, Mark Ridley, John Serafin, David Schiff, Richard Shine, Araya Silpikul, Greg Slater, Shanti Varaitch, Leah Wang, Ross Yamamoto and Kent Zikuhr (Lockheed Martin). We are grateful to Mats Löfdahl for providing his IDL phase-diversity code and teaching us how to use it. We would like to thank Jeff Newmark, Joseph Davila, and Adrian Daw at NASA for their support and encouragement. This work is supported by NASA under contract NNG09FA40C and the Lockheed Martin Independent Research Program. The data downlink to Svalbard is funded by the Norwegian Space Centre (NSC) through an ESA PRODEX contract. We would like to thank Bo Andersen and Paal Brekke for their efforts in making the NSC downlink support possible.

References

- Allen, C.W.: 1964, *Astrophysical Quantities*, Athelone Press, ??? ADS.
- Allen, M.S., McAllister, H.C.: 1978, Observations of the Mg i and ii resonance lines in an active region. *Solar Phys.* **60**, 251. DOI. ADS.
- Arber, T.D., Haynes, M., Leake, J.E.: 2007, Emergence of a Flux Tube through a Partially Ionized Solar Atmosphere. *Astrophys. J.* **666**, 541. DOI. ADS.
- Axford, W.I., McKenzie, J.F., Sukhorukova, G.V., Banaszkiewicz, M., Czechowski, A., Ratkiewicz, R.: 1999, Acceleration of the High Speed Solar Wind in Coronal Holes. *Space Sci. Rev.* **87**, 25. DOI. ADS.
- Bates, B., Bradley, D.J., McKeith, C.D., McKeith, N.E.: 1969, Fabry-Perot Interferograms of the Solar Mg II Doublet and XUV Solar Images obtained during a Stabilized Skylark Rocket Flight. *Nature* **224**, 161. DOI. ADS.
- Beck, C., Schmidt, W., Rezaei, R., Rammacher, W.: 2008, The signature of chromospheric heating in Ca II H spectra. *Astron. Astrophys.* **479**, 213. DOI. ADS.
- Berger, T., Mudge, J., Holmes, B., Searcy, P., Wuelser, J.P., Lemen, J., Title, A.: 2012, Design and fabrication of the near-ultraviolet birefringent Solc filter for the NASA IRIS solar physics mission. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8486**. DOI. ADS.
- Billings, D.E., Roussel-Dupre, R., Francis, M.H.: 1977, Dynamical implications of SI IV line profiles from OSO-8 observations. *Solar Phys.* **55**, 287. DOI. ADS.
- Bonnet, R.M., Lemaire, P., Vial, J.C., Artzner, G., Gouttebroze, P., Jouchoux, A., Vidal-Madjar, A., Leibacher, J.W., Skumanich, A.: 1978, The LPSP instrument on OSO 8. II - In-flight performance and preliminary results. *Astrophys. J.* **221**, 1032. DOI. ADS.
- Bryans, P., Young, P.R., Doschek, G.A.: 2010, Multiple Component Outflows in an Active Region Observed with the EUV Imaging Spectrometer on Hinode. *Astrophys. J.* **715**, 1012. DOI. ADS.
- Cally, P.S., Goossens, M.: 2008, Three-Dimensional MHD Wave Propagation and Conversion to Alfvén Waves near the Solar Surface. I. Direct Numerical Solution. *Solar Phys.* **251**, 251. DOI. ADS.
- Cavallini, F.: 2006, IBIS: A New Post-Focus Instrument for Solar Imaging Spectroscopy. *Solar Phys.* **236**, 415. DOI. ADS.
- Chae, J.: 2004, Flat-Fielding of Solar H α Observations Using Relatively Shifted Images. *Solar Phys.* **221**, 1. DOI. ADS.
- Cirtain, J.W., Golub, L., Winebarger, A.R., de Pontieu, B., Kobayashi, K., Moore, R.L., Walsh, R.W., Korreck, K.E., Weber, M., McCauley, P., Title, A., Kuzin, S., Deforest, C.E.: 2013, Energy release in the solar corona from spatially resolved magnetic braids. *Nature* **493**, 501. DOI. ADS.
- Cranmer, S.R., van Ballegoijen, A.A., Edgar, R.J.: 2007, Self-consistent Coronal Heating and Solar Wind Acceleration from Anisotropic Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence. *Astrophys. J.* **171**, 520. DOI. ADS.
- Culhane, J.L., Harra, L.K., James, A.M., Al-Janabi, K., Bradley, L.J., Chaudry, R.A., Rees, K., Tandy, J.A., Thomas, P., Whillock, M.C.R., Winter, B., Doschek, G.A., Korendyke, C.M., Brown, C.M., Myers, S., Mariska, J., Seely, J., Lang, J., Kent, B.J., Shaughnessy, B.M., Young, P.R., Simnett, G.M., Castelli, C.M., Mahmoud, S., Mapson-Menard, H.,

- Probyn, B.J., Thomas, R.J., Davila, J., Dere, K., Windt, D., Shea, J., Hagood, R., Moye, R., Hara, H., Watanabe, T., Matsuzaki, K., Kosugi, T., Hansteen, V., Wikstol, Ø.: 2007, The EUV Imaging Spectrometer for Hinode. *Solar Phys.* **243**, 19. DOI. ADS.
- De Pontieu, B., McIntosh, S.W., Carlsson, M., Hansteen, V.H., Tarbell, T.D., Schrijver, C.J., Title, A.M., Shine, R.A., Tsuneta, S., Katsukawa, Y., Ichimoto, K., Suematsu, Y., Shimizu, T., Nagata, S.: 2007a, Chromospheric Alfvénic Waves Strong Enough to Power the Solar Wind. *Science* **318**, 1574. DOI. ADS.
- De Pontieu, B., Hansteen, V.H., Rouppe van der Voort, L., van Noort, M., Carlsson, M.: 2007b, High-Resolution Observations and Modeling of Dynamic Fibrils. *Astrophys. J.* **655**, 624. DOI. ADS.
- De Pontieu, B., McIntosh, S.W., Hansteen, V.H., Schrijver, C.J.: 2009, Observing the Roots of Solar Coronal Heating in the Chromosphere. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **701**, L1. DOI. ADS.
- De Pontieu, B., McIntosh, S.W., Carlsson, M., Hansteen, V.H., Tarbell, T.D., Boerner, P., Martinez-Sykora, J., Schrijver, C.J., Title, A.M.: 2011, The Origins of Hot Plasma in the Solar Corona. *Science* **331**, 55. DOI. ADS.
- De Pontieu, B., Carlsson, M., Rouppe van der Voort, L.H.M., Rutten, R.J., Hansteen, V.H., Watanabe, H.: 2012, Ubiquitous Torsional Motions in Type II Spicules. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **752**, L12. DOI. ADS.
- Dere, K.P., Bartoe, J.-D.F., Brueckner, G.E.: 1984, High-resolution telescope and spectrograph observations of the quiet solar chromosphere and transition zone. *Astrophys. J.* **281**, 870. DOI. ADS.
- Doschek, G.A., Feldman, U.: 1977, High-resolution spectra of the solar MG II H and K lines from SKYLAB. *Astrophys. J. Supp.* **35**, 471. DOI. ADS.
- Fontenla, J.M., Peterson, W.K., Harder, J.: 2008, Chromospheric heating by the Farley-Buneman instability. *Astron. Astrophys.* **480**, 839. DOI. ADS.
- Fredga, K.: 1969, Spectroheliograms in the Mg II line at 2795.5 Å. *Solar Phys.* **9**, 358. DOI. ADS.
- Gogoberidze, G., Voitenko, Y., Poedts, S., Goossens, M.: 2009, Farley-Buneman Instability in the Solar Chromosphere. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **706**, L12. DOI. ADS.
- Goodman, M.L., Kazeminezhad, F.: 2010, Simulation of Magnetohydrodynamic Shock Wave Generation, Propagation, and Heating in the Photosphere and Chromosphere Using a Complete Electrical Conductivity Tensor. *Astrophys. J.* **708**, 268. DOI. ADS.
- Guerreiro, N., Hansteen, V., De Pontieu, B.: 2013, The Cycling of Material between the Solar Corona and Chromosphere. *Astrophys. J.* **769**, 47. DOI. ADS.
- Handy, B.N., Acton, L.W., Kankelborg, C.C., Wolfson, C.J., Akin, D.J., Bruner, M.E., Carvalho, R., Catura, R.C., Chevalier, R., Duncan, D.W., Edwards, C.G., Feinstein, C.N., Freeland, S.L., Friedlaender, F.M., Hoffmann, C.H., Hurlburt, N.E., Jurcevich, B.K., Katz, N.L., Kelly, G.A., Lemen, J.R., Levay, M., Lindgren, R.W., Mathur, D.P., Meyer, S.B., Morrison, S.J., Morrison, M.D., Nightingale, R.W., Pope, T.P., Rehse, R.A., Schrijver, C.J., Shine, R.A., Shing, L., Strong, K.T., Tarbell, T.D., Title, A.M., Torgerson, D.D., Golub, L., Bookbinder, J.A., Caldwell, D., Cheimets, P.N., Davis, W.N., Deluca, E.E., McMullen, R.A., Warren, H.P., Amato, D., Fisher, R., Maldonado, H., Parkinson, C.: 1999, The transition region and coronal explorer. *Solar Phys.* **187**, 229. DOI. ADS.
- Hansteen, V.H., De Pontieu, B., Rouppe van der Voort, L., van Noort, M., Carlsson, M.: 2006, Dynamic Fibrils Are Driven by Magnetoacoustic Shocks. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **647**, L73. DOI. ADS.
- Harra, L.K., Hara, H., Imada, S., Young, P.R., Williams, D.R., Sterling, A.C., Korendyke, C., Attrill, G.D.R.: 2007, Coronal Dimming Observed with Hinode: Outflows Related to a Coronal Mass Ejection. *Pub. Astron. Soc. Japan* **59**, 801. ADS.
- Hertz, E.N., Cheimets, P.N., Podgorski, W.A., Perry, T., Park, S.C., Bergner, H.W., Gates, R., Marquez, V., Honsa, M.F.: 2012, Design, analysis, and performance verification of the interface region imaging spectrograph (IRIS) telescope primary mirror assembly. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8443**. DOI. ADS.
- Hill, F., Martens, P., Yoshimura, K., Gurman, J., Hourclé, J., Dimitoglou, G., Suárez-Solá, I., Wampler, S., Reardon, K., Davey, A., Bogart, R.S., Tian, K.Q.: 2009, The Virtual Solar Observatory: A Resource for International Heliophysics Research. *Earth Moon and Planets* **104**, 315. DOI. ADS.
- Hurlburt, N., Cheung, M., Schrijver, C., Chang, L., Freeland, S., Green, S., Heck, C., Jaffey, A., Kobashi, A., Schiff, D., Serafin, J., Seguin, R., Slater, G., Somani, A., Timmons, R.: 2012, Heliophysics Event Knowledgebase for the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) and Beyond. *Solar Phys.* **275**, 67. DOI. ADS.

- Jefferies, S.M., McIntosh, S.W., Armstrong, J.D., Bogdan, T.J., Cacciani, A., Fleck, B.: 2006, Magnetoacoustic Portals and the Basal Heating of the Solar Chromosphere. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **648**, L151. DOI. ADS.
- Kato, Y., Steiner, O., Steffen, M., Suematsu, Y.: 2011, Excitation of Slow Modes in Network Magnetic Elements Through Magnetic Pumping. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **730**, L24. DOI. ADS.
- Kingston, A.E., Doyle, J.G., Dufton, P.L., Gurman, J.B.: 1982, An emission measure analysis of two sunspots observed by the UVSP instrument on the SMM spacecraft. *Solar Phys.* **81**, 47. DOI. ADS.
- Kohl, J.L., Parkinson, W.H.: 1976, The MG II H and K lines. I - Absolute center and limb measurements of the solar profiles. *Astrophys. J.* **205**, 599. DOI. ADS.
- Kosugi, T., Matsuzaki, K., Sakao, T., Shimizu, T., Sone, Y., Tachikawa, S., Hashimoto, T., Minesugi, K., Ohnishi, A., Yamada, T., Tsuneta, S., Hara, H., Ichimoto, K., Suematsu, Y., Shimojo, M., Watanabe, T., Shimada, S., Davis, J.M., Hill, L.D., Owens, J.K., Title, A.M., Culhane, J.L., Harra, L.K., Doschek, G.A., Golub, L.: 2007, The Hinode (Solar-B) Mission: An Overview. *Solar Phys.* **243**, 3. DOI. ADS.
- Leenaarts, J., Pereira, T.M.D., Carlsson, M., Uitenbroek, H., De Pontieu, B.: 2013a, The Formation of IRIS Diagnostics. I. A Quintessential Model Atom of Mg II and General Formation Properties of the Mg II h and k Lines. *Astrophys. J.* **772**, 89. DOI. ADS.
- Leenaarts, J., Pereira, T.M.D., Carlsson, M., Uitenbroek, H., De Pontieu, B.: 2013b, The Formation of IRIS Diagnostics. II. The Formation of the Mg II h and k Lines in the Solar Atmosphere. *Astrophys. J.* **772**, 90. DOI. ADS.
- Lemaire, P.: 1969, High Resolution Balloon Spectra of the Sun in the Mg II Doublet Lines II. *Astrophys. J.* **3**, L43. ADS.
- Lemaire, P., Skumanich, A.: 1973, Magnesium II Doublet Profiles of Chromospheric Inhomogeneities at the Center of the Solar Disk. *Astron. Astrophys.* **22**, 61. ADS.
- Lemen, J.R., Title, A.M., Akin, D.J., Boerner, P.F., Chou, C., Drake, J.F., Duncan, D.W., Edwards, C.G., Friedlaender, F.M., Heyman, G.F., Hurlburt, N.E., Katz, N.L., Kushner, G.D., Levay, M., Lindgren, R.W., Mathur, D.P., McFeaters, E.L., Mitchell, S., Rehse, R.A., Schrijver, C.J., Springer, L.A., Stern, R.A., Tarbell, T.D., Wuelser, J.-P., Wolfson, C.J., Yanari, C., Bookbinder, J.A., Cheimets, P.N., Caldwell, D., Deluca, E.E., Gates, R., Golub, L., Park, S., Podgorski, W.A., Bush, R.I., Scherrer, P.H., Gumm, M.A., Smith, P., Auken, G., Jerram, P., Pool, P., Soufli, R., Windt, D.L., Beardsley, S., Clapp, M., Lang, J., Waltham, N.: 2012, The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Solar Phys.* **275**, 17. DOI. ADS.
- Lites, B.W., Kubo, M., Socas-Navarro, H., Berger, T., Frank, Z., Shine, R., Tarbell, T., Title, A., Ichimoto, K., Katsukawa, Y., Tsuneta, S., Suematsu, Y., Shimizu, T., Nagata, S.: 2008, The Horizontal Magnetic Flux of the Quiet-Sun Internetwork as Observed with the Hinode Spectro-Polarimeter. *Astrophys. J.* **672**, 1237. DOI. ADS.
- Liu, W., Title, A.M., Zhao, J., Ofman, L., Schrijver, C.J., Aschwanden, M.J., De Pontieu, B., Tarbell, T.D.: 2011, Direct Imaging of Quasi-periodic Fast Propagating Waves of ~ 2000 km s⁻¹ in the Low Solar Corona by the Solar Dynamics Observatory Atmospheric Imaging Assembly. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **736**, L13. DOI. ADS.
- Löfdahl, M.G., Scharmer, G.B.: 1994, Wavefront sensing and image restoration from focused and defocused solar images. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl.* **107**, 243. ADS.
- Martínez-Sykora, J., De Pontieu, B., Hansteen, V.: 2012, Two-dimensional Radiative Magnetohydrodynamic Simulations of the Importance of Partial Ionization in the Chromosphere. *Astrophys. J.* **753**, 161. DOI. ADS.
- McIntosh, S.W., De Pontieu, B.: 2012, Estimating the "Dark" Energy Content of the Solar Corona. *Astrophys. J.* **761**, 138. DOI. ADS.
- McIntosh, S.W., de Pontieu, B., Carlsson, M., Hansteen, V., Boerner, P., Goossens, M.: 2011, Alfvénic waves with sufficient energy to power the quiet solar corona and fast solar wind. *Nature* **475**, 477. DOI. ADS.
- McIntosh, S.W., Tian, H., Sechler, M., De Pontieu, B.: 2012, On the Doppler Velocity of Emission Line Profiles Formed in the "Coronal Contraflow" that Is the Chromosphere-Corona Mass Cycle. *Astrophys. J.* **749**, 60. DOI. ADS.
- Morrill, J.S., Korendyke, C.M.: 2008, High-Resolution Center-to-Limb Variation of the Quiet Solar Spectrum near Mg II. *Astrophys. J.* **687**, 646. DOI. ADS.
- Okamoto, T.J., De Pontieu, B.: 2011, Propagating Waves Along Spicules. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **736**, L24. DOI. ADS.
- Olluri, K., Gudiksen, B.V., Hansteen, V.H.: 2013, Non-equilibrium Ionization Effects on the Density Line Ratio Diagnostics of O IV. *Astrophys. J.* **767**, 43. DOI. ADS.

- Park, S.C., Yanari, C.H., Cheimets, P.N., Podgorski, W.A., Wuelser, J.-P.: 2012, Thermal design of interface region imaging spectrograph (IRIS) ULE primary mirror. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8443**. DOI. ADS.
- Pereira, T.M.D., Leenaarts, J., De Pontieu, B., Carlsson, M., Uitenbroek, H.: 2013, The Formation of IRIS Diagnostics. III. Near-ultraviolet Spectra and Images. *Astrophys. J.* **778**, 143. DOI. ADS.
- Pesnell, W.D., Thompson, B.J., Chamberlin, P.C.: 2012, The Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Solar Phys.* **275**, 3. DOI. ADS.
- Podgorski, W.A., Cheimets, P.N., Golub, L., Lemen, J.R., Title, A.M.: 2012, Design, performance prediction, and measurements of the interface region imaging spectrograph (IRIS) telescope. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8443**. DOI. ADS.
- Poland, A.I., Tandberg-Hanssen, E.: 1983, Physical conditions in a quiescent prominence derived from UV spectra obtained with the UVSP instrument on the SMM. *Solar Phys.* **84**, 63. DOI. ADS.
- Rice, R.F., Plaunt, J.R.: 1971, *IEEE Trans. Comm. Tech.* **19**, 889.
- Roupe van der Voort, L.H.M., De Pontieu, B., Hansteen, V.H., Carlsson, M., van Noort, M.: 2007, Magnetoacoustic Shocks as a Driver of Quiet-Sun Mottles. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **660**, L169. DOI. ADS.
- Roussel-Dupre, D., Shine, R.A.: 1982, Evidence of redshifts in the average solar line profiles of C IV and Si IV from OSO-8 observations. *Solar Phys.* **77**, 329. DOI. ADS.
- Samain, D., Lemaire, P.: 1985, Balloon-borne ultraviolet solar telescope and high resolution echelle-spectrograph - Instrumentation and first results. *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **115**, 227. DOI. ADS.
- Scharmer, G.B., Bjelksjo, K., Korhonen, T.K., Lindberg, B., Petterson, B.: 2003, The 1-meter Swedish solar telescope. In: Keil, S.L., Avakyan, S.V. (eds.) *Proc. SPIE* **4853**, 341. ADS.
- Scharmer, G.B., Narayan, G., Hillberg, T., de la Cruz Rodríguez, J., Löfdahl, M.G., Kiselman, D., Sütterlin, P., van Noort, M., Lagg, A.: 2008, CRISP Spectropolarimetric Imaging of Penumbral Fine Structure. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **689**, L69. DOI. ADS.
- Scherrer, P.H., Schou, J., Bush, R.I., Kosovichev, A.G., Bogart, R.S., Hoeksema, J.T., Liu, Y., Duvall, T.L., Zhao, J., Title, A.M., Schrijver, C.J., Tarbell, T.D., Tomczyk, S.: 2012, The Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI) Investigation for the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO). *Solar Phys.* **275**, 207. DOI. ADS.
- Sekse, D.H., Roupe van der Voort, L., De Pontieu, B., Scullion, E.: 2013, Interplay of Three Kinds of Motion in the Disk Counterpart of Type II Spicules: Upflow, Transversal, and Torsional Motions. *Astrophys. J.* **769**, 44. DOI. ADS.
- Staath, E., Lemaire, P.: 1995, High resolution profiles of the MG II H and MG II K lines. *Astron. Astrophys.* **295**, 517. ADS.
- Straus, T., Fleck, B., Jefferies, S.M., Cauzzi, G., McIntosh, S.W., Reardon, K., Severino, G., Steffen, M.: 2008, The Energy Flux of Internal Gravity Waves in the Lower Solar Atmosphere. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **681**, L125. DOI. ADS.
- Su, J.T., Liu, Y., Liu, S., Zhang, Y.Z., Zhao, H., Xu, H.Q., Xie, W.B.: 2013, Simultaneous Observation of Solar Oscillations Associated with Coronal Loops from the Photosphere to the Corona. *Astrophys. J.* **762**, 42. DOI. ADS.
- Testa, P., De Pontieu, B., Martínez-Sykora, J., DeLuca, E., Hansteen, V., Cirtain, J., Winebarger, A., Golub, L., Kobayashi, K., Korreck, K., Kuzin, S., Walsh, R., DeForest, C., Title, A., Weber, M.: 2013, Observing Coronal Nanoflares in Active Region Moss. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **770**, L1. DOI. ADS.
- Tian, H., McIntosh, S.W., De Pontieu, B., Martínez-Sykora, J., Sechler, M., Wang, X.: 2011, Two Components of the Solar Coronal Emission Revealed by Extreme-ultraviolet Spectroscopic Observations. *Astrophys. J.* **738**, 18. DOI. ADS.
- Tian, H., McIntosh, S.W., Xia, L., He, J., Wang, X.: 2012, What can We Learn about Solar Coronal Mass Ejections, Coronal Dimmings, and Extreme-ultraviolet Jets through Spectroscopic Observations? *Astrophys. J.* **748**, 106. DOI. ADS.
- Tomczyk, S., McIntosh, S.W.: 2009, Time-Distance Seismology of the Solar Corona with CoMP. *Astrophys. J.* **697**, 1384. DOI. ADS.
- Tomczyk, S., McIntosh, S.W., Keil, S.L., Judge, P.G., Schad, T., Seeley, D.H., Edmondson, J.: 2007, Alfvén Waves in the Solar Corona. *Science* **317**, 1192. DOI. ADS.
- Tu, C.-Y., Marsch, E.: 1997, Two-Fluid Model for Heating of the Solar Corona and Acceleration of the Solar Wind by High-Frequency Alfvén Waves. *Solar Phys.* **171**, 363. ADS.
- Verwichte, E., Van Doorsselaere, T., White, R.S., Antolin, P.: 2013, Statistical seismology of transverse waves in the solar corona. *Astron. Astrophys.* **552**, A138. DOI. ADS.

- Visser, G., Rouppe van der Voort, L.: 2012, Flocculent Flows in the Chromospheric Canopy of a Sunspot. *Astrophys. J.* **750**, 22. DOI. ADS.
- Wedemeyer-Böhm, S., Scullion, E., Steiner, O., Rouppe van der Voort, L., de La Cruz Rodríguez, J., Fedun, V., Erdélyi, R.: 2012, Magnetic tornadoes as energy channels into the solar corona. *Nature* **486**, 505. DOI. ADS.
- West, E., Cirtain, J., Kobayashi, K., Davis, J., Gary, A., Adams, M.: 2011, MgII observations using the MSFC solar ultraviolet magnetograph. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8148**. DOI. ADS.
- Wilhelm, K., Curdt, W., Marsch, E., Schühle, U., Lemaire, P., Gabriel, A., Vial, J.-C., Grewing, M., Huber, M.C.E., Jordan, S.D., Poland, A.I., Thomas, R.J., Kühne, M., Timothy, J.G., Hassler, D.M., Siegmund, O.H.W.: 1995, SUMER - Solar Ultraviolet Measurements of Emitted Radiation. *Solar Phys.* **162**, 189. DOI. ADS.
- Winebarger, A.R., Walsh, R.W., Moore, R., De Pontieu, B., Hansteen, V., Cirtain, J., Golub, L., Kobayashi, K., Korreck, K., DeForest, C., Weber, M., Title, A., Kuzin, S.: 2013, Detecting Nanoflare Heating Events in Subarcsecond Inter-moss Loops Using Hi-C. *Astrophys. J.* **771**, 21. DOI. ADS.
- Woodgate, B.E., Brandt, J.C., Kalet, M.W., Kenny, P.J., Tandberg-Hanssen, E.A., Bruner, E.C., Beckers, J.M., Henze, W., Knox, E.D., Hyder, C.L.: 1980, The Ultraviolet Spectrometer and Polarimeter on the Solar Maximum Mission. *Solar Phys.* **65**, 73. DOI. ADS.
- Wülser, J.-P., Title, A.M., Lemen, J.R., De Pontieu, B., Kankelborg, C.C., Tarbell, T.D., Berger, T.E., Golub, L., Kushner, G.D., Chou, C.Y., Weingrod, I., Holmes, B., Mudge, J., Podgorski, W.A.: 2012, The interface region imaging spectrograph for the IRIS Small Explorer mission. In: *Proc. SPIE* **8443**. DOI. ADS.
- Young, P.R., Doschek, G.A., Warren, H.P., Hara, H.: 2013, Properties of a Solar Flare Kernel Observed by Hinode and SDO. *Astrophys. J.* **766**, 127. DOI. ADS.

Table 12. IRIS default tables: basic raster modes

OBS number	Raster step [arcsec]	Raster size [arcsec ²]	Description
1	0.33	0.3×30	Small sit-and-stare
2	0.33	0.3×60	Medium sit-and-stare
3	0.33	0.3×120	Large sit-and-stare
4	0.33	0.3×175	Very large sit-and-stare
5	0.33	0.33×30	Small dense 2-step raster
6	0.33	0.33×60	Medium dense 2-step raster
7	0.33	0.33×175	Very large dense 2-step raster
8	1	1×60	Medium sparse 2-step raster
9	1	1×120	Large sparse 2-step raster
10	1	1×175	Very large sparse 2-step raster
11	2	2×60	Medium coarse 2-step raster
12	2	2×120	Large coarse 2-step raster
13	2	2×175	Very large coarse 2-step raster
14	0.33	1×30	Small dense 4-step raster
15	0.33	1×60	Medium dense 4-step raster
16	0.33	1×175	Very large dense 4-step raster
17	1	3×60	Medium sparse 4-step raster
18	1	3×120	Large sparse 4-step raster
19	1	3×175	Very large sparse 4-step raster
20	2	6×60	Medium coarse 4-step raster
21	2	6×120	Large coarse 4-step raster
22	2	6×175	Very large coarse 4-step raster
23	0.33	2.32×30	Small dense 8-step raster
24	0.33	2.32×60	Medium dense 8-step raster
25	0.33	2.32×175	Very large dense 8-step raster
26	1	7×60	Medium sparse 8-step raster
27	1	7×120	Large sparse 8-step raster
28	1	7×175	Very large sparse 8-step raster
29	2	14×60	Medium coarse 8-step raster
30	2	14×120	Large coarse 8-step raster
31	2	14×175	Very large coarse 8-step raster
32	0.33	5×60	Medium dense 16-step raster
33	0.33	5×120	Large dense 16-step raster
34	0.33	5×175	Very large dense 16-step raster
35	1	15×60	Medium sparse 16-step raster
36	1	15×120	Large sparse 16-step raster
37	1	15×175	Very large sparse 16-step raster
38	2	30×120	Large coarse 16-step raster
39	2	30×175	Very large coarse 16-step raster
40	0.33	20.8×120	Large dense 64-step raster
41	0.33	20.8×175	Very large dense 64-step raster
42	1	63×120	Large sparse 64-step raster
43	1	63×175	Very large sparse 64-step raster
44	2	126×120	Large coarse 64-step raster
45	2	126×175	Very large coarse 64-step raster
46	0.33	131.7×175	Very large dense raster
47	0.33	31.35×175	Dense synoptic raster
48	1	35×175	Sparse synoptic raster
49	2	34×175	Coarse synoptic raster

Table 13. IRIS default tables: SJI, exposure times, rebinning

OBS number	Description
0	C II Si IV Mg II h/k Mg II w
100	C II Si IV Mg II h/k Mg II w s
200	C II Si IV Mg II w s
300	C II Mg II h/k Mg II w s
400	Si IV Mg II h/k Mg II w s
500	C II Mg II w s
600	Si IV Mg II w s
700	Mg II h/k Mg II w s
800	Si IV Mg II h/k Mg II w
900	C II Mg II h/k Mg II w
1000	C II Si IV Mg II w
1100	C II Si IV Mg II h/k
1200	C II Si IV
1300	C II Mg II h/k
1400	Si IV Mg II h/k
1500	C II
1600	Si IV
1700	Mg II h/k
1800	Mg II w
1900	Mg II h/k Mg II w
0	Exp time 1s
2000	Exp time 0.5s
4000	Exp time 2s
6000	Exp time 4s
8000	Exp time 8s
10 000	Exp time 15s
12 000	Exp time 30s
0	Spatial \times 1, Spectral \times 1
20 000	Spatial \times 1, Spectral \times 2
40 000	Spatial \times 1, Spectral \times 4
60 000	Spatial \times 1, Spectral \times 8
80 000	Spatial \times 2, Spectral \times 1
100 000	Spatial \times 2, Spectral \times 2
120 000	Spatial \times 2, Spectral \times 4
140 000	Spatial \times 2, Spectral \times 8
160 000	Spatial \times 4, Spectral \times 1
180 000	Spatial \times 4, Spectral \times 2
200 000	Spatial \times 4, Spectral \times 4
220 000	Spatial \times 4, Spectral \times 8
0	FUV spectrally rebinned \times 2
250 000	FUV spectrally rebinned \times 2
500 000	FUV spectrally rebinned \times 4
750 000	FUV spectrally rebinned \times 8

Table 14. IRIS default tables: SJI cadence, compression, linelists

OBS number	Description
0	SJI cadence 10s (60s for slow)
1 000 000	SJI cadence $0.25\times$ faster
2 000 000	SJI cadence $0.5\times$ faster
3 000 000	SJI cadence $3\times$ faster
4 000 000	SJI cadence $10\times$ faster
0	Default lossy compression
10 000 000	Lossless compression
0	Large Linelist
20 000 000	Medium Linelist
40 000 000	Small Linelist
60 000 000	Flare Linelist
80 000 000	Full readout